

Maximizing Band Gaps in Two-Dimensional Photonic Crystals by Using Level Set Methods*

Chiu Yen Kao[†], Stanley Osher[‡] and Eli Yablonovitch[§]

31st January 2005

Abstract

In this paper, optimal design of photonic band gaps for two dimensional square lattices are considered. We use the level set method to represent the interface between two materials with two different dielectric constants. The interface is moved by a generalized gradient ascent method. The biggest gap of GaAs in the air we found is 0.4418 for TM (transverse magnetic field) and 0.2104 for TE (transverse electric field).

1 Introduction

Photonic crystals are periodic dielectric structures which are designed to prevent the propagation of electromagnetic waves. They were first studied by Rayleigh in 1887 for one-dimensional layered structures. Later, Yablonovitch [13] and John [9] in 1987 introduced the concepts of photonic band gaps in two and three dimensions. Photonic crystals with band gaps have many applications. It is important to design an optimization algorithm to find photonic crystals with larger band gaps. In [3] and [4], the authors proposed a projected generalized gradient ascent algorithm to maximize the band gaps iteratively for either transverse magnetic field or transverse electric field in two-dimensional photonic crystals. The optimized structure prefers piecewise constant dielectric distribution. Based on this, we resort to level set methods [10] to represent the interface between two materials with different dielectric constants. The front is moved by generalized gradient ascent method. We test our algorithm for maximizing band gaps for either transverse magnetic field or transverse electric field in two-dimensional photonic crystals.

*Research supported by NSEC grant NSF DMI 0327077

[†]Institute for Mathematics and its Applications (IMA), University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455, email:kao@ima.umn.edu

[‡]Department of Mathematics, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, email:sjo@math.ucla.edu

[§]Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, email:eliy@ee.ucla.edu

2 Governing Equations

Suppose there is no current or electric charge and the electromagnetic waves are monochromatic, i.e. $E(x, t) = E(x)e^{-i\omega t}$ and $H(x, t) = H(x)e^{-i\omega t}$. The Maxwell's equations can be reduced to the following system:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon(x)} \nabla \times (\nabla \times E(x)) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} E(x) \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \times \frac{1}{\epsilon(x)} (\nabla \times H(x)) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} H(x) \quad (2)$$

where ϵ is the dielectric function. Suppose that the medium is isotropic, the magnetic permeability is constant, and the dielectric function is periodic, i.e. $\epsilon(x + R_i) = \epsilon(x)$ for some primitive lattice vectors R_i . In *TM* (transverse magnetic field), the magnetic field is in the xy plane and the electric field $E = (0, 0, E)$ is perpendicular to z axis. In *TE* (transverse electric field), the electric field is in the xy plane and the magnetic field $H = (0, 0, H)$ is perpendicular to z axis. Thus equations become

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon(x)} \nabla \cdot (\nabla E(x)) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} E(x) \quad (3)$$

$$-\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon(x)} \nabla H(x) \right) = \frac{\omega^2}{c^2} H(x) \quad (4)$$

Applying the Bloch's theorem, the solution can be characterized as follows: $E = e^{i\alpha \cdot x} E_\alpha$ and $H = e^{i\alpha \cdot x} H_\alpha$ with $E_\alpha(x + R_i) = E_\alpha(x)$ and $H_\alpha(x + R_i) = H_\alpha(x)$ where α is a wave number in the first Brillouin zone. E_α and H_α satisfy

$$-\frac{1}{\epsilon(x)} (\nabla + i\alpha) \cdot (\nabla + i\alpha) E_\alpha = \frac{\omega_{TM}^2}{c^2} E_\alpha \quad (5)$$

$$-(\nabla + i\alpha) \cdot \frac{1}{\epsilon(x)} (\nabla + i\alpha) H_\alpha = \frac{\omega_{TE}^2}{c^2} H_\alpha \quad (6)$$

with eigenvalues $\lambda_{TM} = \omega_{TM}^2/c^2$ and $\lambda_{TE} = \omega_{TE}^2/c^2$. Furthermore, if photonic crystals possess additional symmetries, we can consider solutions only in the irreducible Brillouin zone. There are several methods [8][14][5][6] designed to solve (5) and (6) for given $\epsilon(x)$ and α . Here we use a finite difference method to discretize the equations (5) and (6). After discretization, an eigenvalue problem is obtained. In [7][1], inverse iteration together with multigrid acceleration is used to solve it efficiently. In our implementation, we simply use Matlab routine `eigs`.

3 Level Set Formulation and Gradient Approach

We use the level set method [10][11] to represent the interface between two materials with two different dielectric constants. Let

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} \epsilon_1 & \text{for } \{x : \phi(x) < 0\} \\ \epsilon_2 & \text{for } \{x : \phi(x) > 0\} \end{cases} .$$

The level set function is updated by solving the Hamilton-Jacobi equation

$$\phi_t + V |\nabla \phi| = 0 \quad (7)$$

where the velocity V gives the correct direction to optimize the desired design. Without loss of generality, we can choose $c = 1$. The optimization problems we solve here are:

1. Maximize the band gap in TM : $\sup_{\phi} \left(\inf_{\alpha} \omega_{TM}^{n+1} - \sup_{\alpha} \omega_{TM}^n \right)$
2. Maximize the band gap in TE : $\sup_{\phi} \left(\inf_{\alpha} \omega_{TE}^{m+1} - \sup_{\alpha} \omega_{TE}^m \right)$

We denote convex hull by co . The generalized gradients [2][3][4] with respect to ϕ can be written as following

$$\partial_{\phi} \omega_{TM}^k \subset co \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{TM}^k |u|^2 : u \in \Upsilon_{TM}^k(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\} \quad (8)$$

$$\partial_{\phi} \omega_{TE}^k \subset co \left\{ \frac{1}{2\omega_{TE}^k} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \right) |(\nabla + i\alpha)v|^2 : v \in \Upsilon_{TE}^k(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\} \quad (9)$$

where Υ_{TM}^k (and Υ_{TE}^k) are the span of all eigenfunctions u (and v) associated with the eigenvalues λ_{TM}^k (and λ_{TE}^k respectively) and satisfying the normalization $\int_{\Omega} \epsilon |u|^2 = 1$ and $\int_{\Omega} |v|^2 = 1$. The corresponding velocities which gives ascent direction for the optimization are

1. $V_{TM} = co \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{TM}^{n+1} |u|^2 : u \in \Upsilon_{TM}^{n+1}(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\}$
 $- co \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{TM}^n |u|^2 : u \in \Upsilon_{TM}^n(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\}$
2. $V_{TE} = co \left\{ \frac{1}{2\omega_{TE}^{m+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \right) |(\nabla + i\alpha)v|^2 : v \in \Upsilon_{TE}^{m+1}(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\}$
 $- co \left\{ \frac{1}{2\omega_{TE}^m} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \right) |(\nabla + i\alpha)v|^2 : v \in \Upsilon_{TE}^m(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\}$

Sometimes the band gap ratio is also interesting. This can be optimized easily by using the chain rule to obtain the generalized ascent directions.

Basic algorithm can be summarized as the following:

1. First choose initial ϵ and decide which band gap we want to maximize.
2. For $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, find velocity V which gives an ascent direction and a step size t_i to yield an increase in the objective band gap. Use the level set method (7) to update ϕ and then obtain the new ϵ .

4 Results and Conclusions

To implement the algorithm above, we choose the relative permittivity $\epsilon = \epsilon_2/\epsilon_1 = 11.4$ which is the case of GaAs in the air. We consider a photonic crystal which is made using a square lattice and has a rotation, mirror-reflection, and inversion symmetry. In all numerical simulations, the computational domain is a unit square domain $\Omega = [-0.5, 0.5] \times [-0.5, 0.5]$ and the mesh sizes are $\frac{1}{64}$ (64 by 64 grid). Figure 1 shows a photonic crystal with square lattice and the corresponding band structure in irreducible Brillouin zone of reciprocal lattice. A 3×3 array of unit lattice is shown for clarity. The light color indicates the low dielectric constant $\epsilon = 1$ while the dark color indicates the high dielectric constant $\epsilon = 11.4$.




Figure 1: A 3×3 array of unit lattice and its band structure

In Figure 2, we demonstrate the process of optimizing the band gap for the first and second eigenvalues in *TM*. As the number of iterations increases, the band gap gradually increases until it reaches a stable value. The high ϵ region breaks and becomes circle finally. The topological change of dielectric distribution is well captured with the level set method.




Figure 2: (a) The evolution of the dielectric distribution (b) The bandgap vs the iteration (c)The final band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^1 and ω_{TM}^2 .

From Figure 3 to Figure 20, we only plot the final optimized structure for the band gap between different adjacent eigenvalues. The results show that a

lattice of isolated high ϵ region is preferred in TM while a lattice of connected high ϵ region is preferred in TE . We also observed that the gap in TM is usually easy to find and optimize. In TE , the objective function is “more” nonconvex. The optimized results we found are probably only local maximum. The biggest gap we found is 0.4418 in TM and 0.2104 in TE . To the best of our knowledge, these give the largest band gaps that have been reported for a two dimensional square lattice so far.




Figure 3: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^2 and ω_{TM}^3 .




Figure 4: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^3 and ω_{TM}^4 .




Figure 5: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^4 and ω_{TM}^5 .




Figure 6: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^5 and ω_{TM}^6 .




Figure 7: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^6 and ω_{TM}^7 .




Figure 8: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^7 and ω_{TM}^8 .




Figure 9: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^8 and ω_{TM}^9 .




Figure 10: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^9 and ω_{TM}^{10} .




Figure 11: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TM}^{10} and ω_{TM}^{11} .




Figure 12: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^1 and ω_{TE}^2 .




Figure 13: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^2 and ω_{TE}^3 .




Figure 14: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^3 and ω_{TE}^4 .




Figure 15: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^4 and ω_{TE}^5 .




Figure 16: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^5 and ω_{TE}^6 .




Figure 17: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^6 and ω_{TE}^7 .




Figure 18: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^7 and ω_{TE}^8 .




Figure 19: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^8 and ω_{TE}^9 .




Figure 20: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^9 and ω_{TE}^{10} .




Figure 21: The dielectric distribution and band structure for maximizing the band gap between ω_{TE}^{10} and ω_{TE}^{11} .

In the future, we will also apply the method to maximize the full band gap [12] for both transverse magnetic field and transverse electric field:

$$\sup_{\phi} \left(\inf_{\alpha} \left(\inf_{\alpha} \omega_{TM}^{n+1}, \inf_{\alpha} \omega_{TE}^{m+1} \right) - \sup_{\alpha} \left(\sup_{\alpha} \omega_{TM}^n, \sup_{\alpha} \omega_{TE}^m \right) \right)$$

The velocity can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned} V_{EH} = & \text{co} \left\{ \text{co} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{TM}^{n+1} |u|^2 : u \in \Upsilon_{TM}^{n+1}(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\}, \right. \\ & \left. \text{co} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\omega_{TE}^{m+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \right) |(\nabla + i\alpha)v|^2 : v \in \Upsilon_{TE}^{m+1}(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\} \right\} \\ & : \alpha \in \text{Argmin} \left(\inf_{\alpha} \omega_{TM}^{n+1}, \inf_{\alpha} \omega_{TE}^{m+1} \right) \\ & \text{co} \left\{ \text{co} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\epsilon_2 - \epsilon_1) \omega_{TM}^{n+1} |u|^2 : u \in \Upsilon_{TM}^{n+1}(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\}, \right. \\ & \left. \text{co} \left\{ \frac{1}{2\omega_{TE}^{m+1}} \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_2} - \frac{1}{\epsilon_1} \right) |(\nabla + i\alpha)v|^2 : v \in \Upsilon_{TE}^{m+1}(\epsilon, \alpha) \right\} \right\} \\ & : \alpha \in \text{Argmin} \left(\sup_{\alpha} \omega_{TM}^n, \sup_{\alpha} \omega_{TE}^m \right) \end{aligned}$$

The results will be reported in another paper.

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank M. Burger, Chien-C Chang, C.-Chung Chang, R. L. Chern and J. R. McLaughlin for useful discussions.

References

- [1] R. L. Chern, C. Chung Chang, Chien C. Chang, and R. R. Hwang. Large full band gaps for photonic crystals in two dimensions computed by an inverse method with multigrid acceleration. *Phys. Rev. E*, 68:026704–026708, 2003.

- [2] S. J. Cox. The generalized gradient at a multiple eigenvalue. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 133:30–40, 1995.
- [3] S. J. Cox and D. C. Dobson. Maximizing band gaps in two-dimensional photonic crystals. *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 59:2108–2120, 1999.
- [4] S. J. Cox and D. C. Dobson. Band structure optimization of two-dimensional photonic crystals in H-polarization. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 158:214–224, 2000.
- [5] D. Dobson. An efficient method for band structure calculations in 2d photonic crystals. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 149:363–376, 1999.
- [6] D. Dobson, J. Gopalakrishnan, and J. E. Pasciak. An efficient method for band structure calculations in 3d photonic crystals. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 161:668–679, 2000.
- [7] D. Hermann, M. Frank, K. Busch, and P. Wolffe. Photonic band structure computations. *Optics Express*, 8:167–172, 2001.
- [8] K. M. Ho, C. T. Chan, and C. M. Soukoulis. Existence of a photonic gap in periodic dielectric structures. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65:3152–3155, 1990.
- [9] S. John. Strong localization of photons in certain disordered dielectric superlattices. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 58:2486–2489, 1987.
- [10] S. Osher and J. A. Sethian. Fronts propagating with curvature-dependent speed: algorithms based on Hamilton-Jacobi formulations. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 79:12–49.
- [11] S. J. Osher and F. Santosa. Level set methods for optimization problems involving geometry and constraints: I. frequencies of a two-density inhomogeneous drum. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 171:272–228, 2001.
- [12] M. Qiu and S. He. Optimal design of a two dimensional photonic crystal of square lattice with a large complete two-dimensional bandgap. *J. Opt. Soc. Am. B*, 17:1027–1030, 2000.
- [13] E. Yablonovitch. Inhibited spontaneous emission in solid state physics and electronics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 58:2059–2062, 1987.
- [14] Z. Zhang and S. Satpathy. Electromagnetic wave propagation in periodic structures: Bloch wave solution of maxwell’s equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 65:2650–2653, 1990.