Computing Quasiconformal Maps on Riemann surfaces using Discrete Curvature Flow

W. Zeng , L.M. Lui , F. Luo, T.F. Chan, S.T. Yau, X.F. Gu

Abstract

Surface mapping plays an important role in geometric processing. They induce both area and angular distortions. If the angular distortion is bounded, the mapping is called a *quasi-conformal* map. Many surface maps in our physical world are quasi-conformal. The angular distortion of a quasi-conformal map can be represented by Beltrami differentials. According to quasi-conformal Teichmüller theory, there is an 1-1 correspondence between the set of Beltrami differentials and the set of quasi-conformal surface maps. Therefore, every quasi-conformal surface map can be fully determined by the Beltrami differential and can be reconstructed by solving the so-called Beltrami equation.

In this work, we propose an effective method to solve the Beltrami equation on general Riemann surfaces. The solution is a quasi-conformal map associated with the prescribed Beltrami differential. We firstly formulate a discrete analog of quasi-conformal maps on triangular meshes. Then, we propose an algorithm to compute discrete quasi-conformal maps. The main strategy is to define a discrete auxiliary metric of the source surface, such that the original quasi-conformal map becomes conformal under the newly defined discrete metric. The associated map can then be obtained by using the discrete Yamabe flow method. Numerically, the discrete quasi-conformal map converges to the continuous real solution as the mesh size approaches to 0. We tested our algorithm on surfaces scanned from real life with different topologies. Experimental results demonstrate the generality and accuracy of our auxiliary metric method.

Index Terms

quasi-conformal map, curvature flow, Beltrami differentials, Beltrami equation, Yamabe flow, quasi-conformal Teichmiler theory

I. INTRODUCTION

Mapping between surfaces plays a fundamental role in digital geometric processing. In general, surface mappings introduce distortions, which can be classified as area distortion and angular distortion. Mappings without angular distortions are called conformal mappings. Last several years, there has been fast development of various techniques for computing conformal mappings and their applications in geometric processing. However, conformal mappings are not common in practice. Many mappings in our physical world are quasi-conformal, which introduce bounded angular distortion. For example, deformations of elastic shapes are quasi-conformal, such as human expression change, deformations of human organs, etc. In order to model surface mappings in the real world more effectively, it is crucial to study quasi-conformal mappings which allow for a much wider domain of applications.

The theory of quasi-conformal mappings is nearly 80 years old and has been firstly studied by Ahlfors [1], Grotzch [2], Morrey [3] and Lavrentjev [4]. Quasi-conformal mappings can be viewed as a generalization of conformal mappings. Figure 1 illustrates the difference between a conformal map and a quasi-conformal map. Angular distortion can be characterized in the following intuitive way. Geometrically, a conformal mapping maps an infinitesimal circle on the source surface to a circle on the target surface, as shown in the first row. A quasi-conformal mapping maps an infinitesimal circle on the source surface to an ellipse, as shown in frame (E) and (F). The eccentricity and the orientation of the ellipse can be represented by a complex valued function, the so-called *Beltrami coefficient* μ . Specifically, the ratio between the two axes of the ellipse is given by $\frac{1+|\mu(z)|}{1-|\mu(z)|}$, and the orientation of the axis is related to $arg\mu(z)$ (see figure 2).

W. Zeng and L.M. Lui contributed equally for this work



Fig. 1. Conformal and Quasi-conformal maps for a topological disk. (A) is the original face. (B) is the conformal mapping of (A). (C) is the circle packing induced by (B). (D) is the checkerboard texture induced by (B). (E) is the quasi-conformal mapping. (F) is the circle packing induced by (E).



Fig. 2. Illustration of how the Beltrami coefficient μ measures the distortion by a quasi-conformal mapping that maps a small circle to an ellipse with dilation K.

Beltrami coefficient is defined on a local chart. Globally, Beltrami coefficient is represented by the *Beltrami differential*, which is independent of the choice of local parameters. According to quasi-conformal Teichmüller theory, for general surfaces, there is an one to one correspondence between the set of quasi-conformal maps and the set of Beltrami differentials. In other words, every quasi-conformal map can be fully determined by the Beltrami differentials and is unique up to a finite dimensional group. Conversely, given a particular Beltrami differential $\mu(z)\frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$, we can reconstruct the quasi-conformal maps associated to $\mu(z)\frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$. The Beltrami differential captures the most essential information of the surface mappings. Therefore, by adjusting $\mu(z)\frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$, we can reconstruct a surface mapping with desired properties.

Quasi-conformal mappings have been studied extensively in complex analysis [1], [2], [5], [6]. Applications can be found in different areas such as differential equations, topology, Riemann mappings,

complex dynamics, grid generation and so on [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12], [13], [14]. Despite the rapid development in the theory of quasi-conformal geometry, the progress on computing quasi-conformal mappings numerically has been very slow. In fact, developing an effective numerical algorithm to compute quasi-conformal mapping remains a challenging problem.

Recently, there has been a few work on numerical quasi-conformal mapping techniques on the complex plane based on solving differential equations with finite difference or finite element methods. Most of these methods deal with simple domains in the complex plane and cannot be applied on arbitrary regions. Furthermore, to the best of our knowledge, no work has been done on solving the Betrami equation on general Riemann surfaces. In this work, we are interested in developing an effective numerical algorithm to compute the quasi-conformal mapping on general Riemann surfaces of any genus. Of course, the developed algorithm could be easily applied to any arbitrary regions in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , since any regions in \mathbb{C} are Riemann surfaces.

The fundamental problem in this paper is to find the quasi-conformal map ϕ , associated to a given Beltrami differential. This can be done by solving the Beltrami equation using the proposed *auxiliary metric method*. We firstly formulate a discrete analog of the quasi-conformal map on triangular meshes. Then, we propose an algorithm to compute the discrete quasi-conformal map. The basic idea is to construct a *discrete auxiliary metric* based on the given Beltrami differential, such that ϕ becomes a conformal map under the auxiliary metric. We then use the Yamabe flow method to compute the conformal map under the new discrete metric. The resulting map is the desired quasi-conformal map. Numerically, the discrete quasi-conformal map converges to the continuous real solution as mesh size tends to 0.

The paper is laid out in the following way: Section II briefly review the most related works in the field; Section III introduces the theoretical background; Section IV describes the discrete Euclidean and hyperbolic Yamabe flow method; Section V explains how the Beltrami equations can be solved on general Riemann surfaces using the auxiliary metric. Section VI focuses on the computational methodologies; Section VII reports the experimental results; the paper is concluded in Section VIII.

II. PREVIOUS WORK

Conformal mapping has been broadly applied to surface parameterizations in digital geometry processing. Here we only review the most related works. We refer readers to the thorough surveys of [15], [16], [17] for various kinds of mesh parameterization techniques.

Lévy et al. in [18] applied the Cauchy-Riemann equation for mesh parameterization and provided successful results on the constrained 2D parameterizations with free boundaries. Desbrun et al. in [19] minimized the Dirichlet energy defined on triangular meshes for computing conformal parameterization. Angle based flattening method (ABF) was introduced in [20], [21]. Linearized version of ABF is introduced in [22]. Gu and Yau in [23] computed the conformal structure using Hodge theory. Gortler et al. in [24] used discrete 1-forms for mesh parameterization with several holes. Ray et al. in [25] used the holomorphic 1-form to follow the principle curvatures for the quad remeshing purpose. Kälberer et al. [26] use branched covering to convert a given frame field on the surface to a vector field on the covering space. Spherical parameterizations are introduced in [27], [28]. High genus surface parameterization is pioneered by Grimm and Hughes in [29]. Recently, hyperbolic parameterization is introduced in [30].

Circle pattern was proposed by Bowers and Hurdal [31], and has been proven to be a minimizer of a convex energy by Bobenko and Springborn [32]. An efficient circle pattern algorithm was developed by Kharevych et al. [33]. Discrete Ricci flow was introduced by Chow and Luo in [34] and applied to graphics in [30]. Ben-Chen et al. introduce an efficient method for scaling metrics to prescribed curvatures in [35].

The theory for combinatorial Euclidean Yamabe flow was introduced by Luo in [36]. The theory for hyperbolic curvature flow was introduced in [37]. Springborn et al. [38] identifies the Yamabe energy with the Milnor-Lobachevsky function and the heat equation for the curvature evolution with the cotangent Laplace equation.

Recently, there has been various work on numerical quasi-conformal mapping techniques based on solving elliptic equations in the real plane with finite difference or finite element methods. Using finite difference methods to compute quasi-conformal maps on complex plane were proposed by Belinskii et al. [9] and Mastin and Thompson [14]. These methods are difficult to implement for arbitrary regions. A finite difference scheme for constructing quasi-conformal mappings for arbitrary simply and doubly-connected region of the plane onto a rectangle was developed by Mastin and Thompson [39]. Vlasynk [40] applied similiar techniques for mappings of doubly connected and triply connected domains onto a parametric rectangle. A finite element based method was implemented by Weisel [41]. In [42] Daripa proposed a numerical construction of quasi-conformal mappings in the plane using the Beltrami equation. The author presented an algorithm for the evaluation of one of the singular operators that arise in solving the Beltrami equation. The author subsequently applied the same method for numerical quasi-conformal mappings of exterior of simply connected domains onto the interior of a unit disk using the Beltrami equation [13]. This method was further extended to the quasi-conformal mapping of an arbitrary doubly connected domain with smooth boundaries onto an annulus $\Omega_R = \{\sigma : R < \sigma < 1\}$ [43]. All of these methods deal with simple domains in the complex plane and cannot be applied on arbitrary regions. Furthermore, as far as we know, no work has been done on solving the Betrami equation on general Riemann surfaces. In this work, we are interested in developing an effective numerical algorithm to compute the quasi-conformal mapping on general Riemann surfaces of any genus.

III. THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

In this section, we briefly introduce the major concepts in differential geometry and Riemann surface theory, which are necessary to explain the quasi-conformal maps. We refer readers to [44], [45] for detailed information.

A. Beltrami Equations and quasi-conformal maps

Let $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a complex function. The following differential operators are more convenient for discussion

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z} := \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}), \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}} := \frac{1}{2} (\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}).$$

f is said to be *quasi-conformal* associated to μ if it is orientation-preserving and satisfies the following *Beltrami equation*:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \tag{1}$$

where $\mu(z)$ is some complex-valued Lebesgue measurable function satisfying $\sup |\mu| < 1$. μ is called the *Beltrami coefficient* of f. The Beltrami coefficient μ gives us all the information about the conformaity of f (See Figure 2).

If $\mu(z) = 0$ everywhere, f is called *holomorphic*. A holomorphic function satisfies the well-known Cauchy-Riemann equation

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = 0.$$

Suppose S is a surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 , with the induced Euclidean metric g. Let $U_{\alpha} \subset S$ be an open set on S, with local parameterization is $\phi_{\alpha} : U_{\alpha} \to \mathbb{C}$, such that the metric has local representation

$$\mathbf{g} = e^{2\lambda(c)} dz d\bar{z},$$

then $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ is called an *isothermal coordinate chart*. We can cover the whole surface by a collection of isothermal coordinate charts. All isothermal coordinate charts form a *conformal structure* of the surface. The surface with a conformal structure is called a *Riemann surface*.



Fig. 3. The figure illustrates the definition of quasi-conformal maps between Riemann surfaces

Suppose S_1 and S_2 are two Riemann surfaces. $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ is a local chart of S_1 , $(V_{\beta}, \psi_{\beta})$ is a local chart of S_2 . $f: S_1 \to S_2$ is a *conformal map* if and only if

$$\psi_{\beta} \circ f \circ \phi_{\alpha}^{-1} : \phi_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha}) \to \psi_{\beta}(V_{\beta})$$

is bi-holomorphic for all ϕ_{α} and ψ_{β} . For simplicity, we still use f to denote its local representation. Then a conformal map f satisfies $\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z} = 0$.

The definition of quasi-conformal maps of plane domains can be extended to Riemann surfaces. Instead of using the Beltrami coefficient, a global quantity called *Beltrami differential* is used, which is independent of the choice of local parameters.

Definition 3.1 (Beltrami Differentials): A Beltrami differential $\mu(z) \frac{\overline{dz}}{dz}$ on a Riemann surface R is an assignment to each chart z_{α} on U_{α} an L_{∞} complex-valued function μ^{α} defined on $z_{\alpha}(U_{\alpha})$ such that:

$$\mu^{\alpha}(z_{\alpha}) = \mu^{\beta}(z_{\beta}) \left(\frac{dz_{\beta}}{dz_{\alpha}}\right) / \left(\frac{dz_{\beta}}{dz_{\alpha}}\right)$$
(2)

on the region which is also covered by another chart z_{β} .

Now, a quasi-conformal map between Riemann surfaces can be defined as follow (see Figure 3 for the geometric illustration):

Definition 3.2 (quasi-conformal maps between Riemann surfaces): An orientation-preserving homeomorphism $f: S_1 \to S_2$ is called quasi-conformal associated to $\mu(z)\frac{dz}{dz}$ if for any chart z_{α} on S_1 and any chart w_{β} on S_2 , the map $f^{\alpha\beta} := w_{\beta} \circ f \circ z_{\alpha}^{-1}$ is quasi-conformal associated with $\mu^{\alpha}(z_{\alpha})$

Note that the above definition is well defined. On a region of S_1 covered by two different charts z_{α} and $z_{\alpha'}$, we have

$$\mu^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial f^{\alpha'\beta}}{\partial \overline{z'_{\alpha}}} / \frac{\partial f^{\alpha'\beta}}{\partial z'_{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \overline{z_{\alpha}}} \frac{\overline{dz_{\alpha}}}{dz_{\alpha'}}\right) / \left(\frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial z_{\alpha}} \frac{dz_{\alpha}}{dz_{\alpha'}}\right) = \mu^{\alpha}(z_{\alpha'}) \overline{\left(\frac{dz_{\alpha}}{dz_{\alpha'}}\right)} / \left(\frac{dz_{\alpha}}{dz_{\alpha'}}\right)$$

This is guaranteed by Equation 2. Also, the definition does not depend on the chart w_{β} used in the range of f. Let w_{β} and $w_{\beta'}$ be two different charts on the range of f. We have

$$\mu_{\beta}'(z_{\alpha}) = \frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta'}}{\partial \overline{z_{\alpha}}} / \frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta'}}{\partial z_{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{\partial w_{\beta'}}{\partial w_{\beta}} \frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \overline{z_{\alpha}}} + \frac{\partial w_{\beta'}}{\partial \overline{w_{\beta}}} \frac{\partial \overline{f^{\alpha\beta}}}{\partial \overline{z_{\alpha}}}\right) / \left(\frac{\partial w_{\beta'}}{\partial w_{\beta}} \frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial z_{\alpha}} + \frac{\partial w_{\beta'}}{\partial \overline{w_{\beta}}} \frac{\partial \overline{f^{\alpha\beta}}}{\partial z_{\alpha}}\right) = \frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial \overline{z_{\alpha}}} / \frac{\partial f^{\alpha\beta}}{\partial z_{\alpha}} = \mu_{\beta}(z_{\alpha})$$

since $w_{\beta'}$ is holomorphic and so $\frac{\partial w_{\beta'}}{\partial \overline{w_{\beta}}} = 0.$

In this paper, our goal is to compute numerically the quasi-conformal map associated to a given Beltrami differential, which satisfies Definition 3.2.

B. Yamabe Flow on smooth Riemann surfaces

Let S be a surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 with the induced Euclidean metric g. We say another Riemannian metric $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ is *conformal* to \mathbf{g} , if there is a scalar function $u: S \to \mathbb{R}$, such that $\bar{\mathbf{g}} = e^{2u}\mathbf{g}$.

The Gaussian curvature induced by $\bar{\mathbf{g}}$ is

$$\bar{K} = e^{-2u}(-\Delta_{\mathbf{g}}u + K),$$

where Δ_{g} is the Laplace-Beltrami operator under the original metric g. The above equation is called the Yamabe equation. By solving the Yamabe equation, one can design a conformal metric $e^{2u}g$ by a prescribed curvature K.

Yamabe equation can be solved using *Ricci flow* method. The Ricci flow deforms the metric g(t)according to the Gaussian curvature K(t) (induced by itself), where t is the time parameter

$$\frac{dg_{ij}(t)}{dt} = 2(\bar{K} - K(t))g_{ij}(t).$$

The *uniformization theorem* for surfaces says that any metric surface admits a conformal metric, which induces constant Gaussian curvature. The constant is one of $\{-1, 0, +1\}$, determined by the topology of the surface. Such metric is called the *uniformization metric*. Ricci flow converges to the uniformization metric. Detailed proofs can be found in [46] and [47].

IV. QUASICONFORMAL MAP AND ITS AUXILIARY METRIC

In this section, we prove the main theorem of this paper, which allows us to define an auxiliary metric to solve the Beltrami equation on the Riemann surface.

Theorem 4.1 (Auxiliary Metric associated to a Beltrami differential): Suppose (S_1, \mathbf{g}_1) and (S_2, \mathbf{g}_2) are two metric surfaces, $\phi: S_1 \to S_2$ is a quasi-conformal map, the Beltrami differential is $\mu \frac{d\overline{z}}{dz}$. Let z and w be the local isothermal coordinates of S_1 and S_2 respectively, then \mathbf{g}_1 is $e^{2\lambda_1(z)}dzd\overline{z}$ and \mathbf{g}_2 is $e^{2\lambda_2(w)}dwd\overline{w}$. Define an auxiliary Riemannian metric on S_1 ,

$$\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1 = e^{2\lambda_1(z)} |dz + \mu d\overline{z}|^2.$$
(3)

The auxiliary metric $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1$ is well-defined and the map $\phi: (S_1, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1) \to (S_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ is a conformal map.

Proof: We first prove the auxiliary metric \tilde{g}_1 is well-defined. Consider the region which is covered by two different charts z^{α} and z^{β} . Suppose the local representations of \mathbf{g}_1 under z^{α} and z^{β} are $e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z)}dz^{\alpha}d\overline{z^{\alpha}}$ and $e^{2\lambda_{\beta}(z)}dz^{\beta}d\overline{z^{\beta}}$ respectively. Since $\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{d\overline{z^{\beta}}} = 0$, we have

$$dz^{\alpha} = \frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}dz^{\beta} + \frac{dz^{\alpha}}{d\overline{z^{\beta}}}dz^{\overline{\beta}} = \frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}dz^{\beta}$$

Also,

$$e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z)}dz^{\alpha}d\overline{z^{\alpha}} = e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z)}|dz^{\alpha}|^{2} = e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z)}|\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}|^{2}|dz^{\beta}|^{2} = e^{2\lambda_{\beta}(z)}|dz^{\beta}|^{2}$$

This gives, $e^{2\lambda_{\beta}(z)} = e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z)} |\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}|^2$.

Thus,

$$e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z^{\alpha})}|dz^{\alpha} + \mu^{\alpha}d\overline{z^{\alpha}}|^{2} = e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z^{\alpha})}|\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}dz^{\beta} + \mu^{\alpha}\overline{\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}}d\overline{z^{\alpha}}|^{2}$$
$$= e^{2\lambda_{\alpha}(z^{\alpha})}|\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}|^{2}|dz^{\beta} + \mu^{\alpha}(\overline{\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}}}/\frac{dz^{\alpha}}{dz^{\beta}})d\overline{z^{\alpha}}|^{2}$$
$$= e^{2\lambda_{\beta}(z^{\beta})}|dz^{\beta} + \mu^{\beta}d\overline{z^{\beta}}|^{2}$$

To see the map $\phi: (S_1, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1) \to (S_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ is a conformal map, let $\phi^* \mathbf{g}_2$ denote the pull back metric,

$$\phi^* \mathbf{g_2} = e^{2\lambda_2(\phi(z))} |d\phi(z)|^2$$

Under the pull back metric, the map $\phi : (S_1, \phi^* \mathbf{g}_2) \to (S_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ is isometric.

$$\begin{aligned} d\phi(z) &= \frac{\partial\phi(z)}{\partial z} dz + \frac{\partial\phi(z)}{\partial \overline{z}} d\overline{z}, \\ &= \frac{\partial\phi(z)}{\partial z} (dz + \mu d\overline{z}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\phi^* \mathbf{g_2} = e^{2\lambda_2(\phi(z))} |\frac{\partial\phi(z)}{\partial z}|^2 |dz + \mu d\overline{z}|^2$$

According to the definition of $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1$ in Equation 3, $\phi^* \mathbf{g}_2 = e^{2\lambda_2(\phi(z))-2\lambda_1(z)} |\frac{\partial \phi(z)}{\partial z}|^2 \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1$. $\phi^* \mathbf{g}_2$ is conformal to $\tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1$. Because $\phi : (S_1, \phi^* \mathbf{g}_2) \to (S_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ is isometric, therefore $\phi : (S_1, \tilde{\mathbf{g}}_1) \to (S_2, \mathbf{g}_2)$ is conformal.

This theorem tells us to solve the Beltrami equation on the Riemann surface, we simply need to define a new auxiliary metric associated with the prescribed Beltrami differential. We can then solve the Beltrami equation by computing a conformal map under the newly defined metric. In other words, the quasi-conformal map is equivalent to a conformal map under a suitable metric. This observation is important for us to develop the numerical algorithm to compute quasi-conformal maps (See Section VI).

V. DISCRETE QUASI-CONFORMAL GEOMETRY

In Section III and IV, we briefly discuss the theory of quasi-conformal geometry and describe the auxiliary metric on smooth Riemann surfaces. In practice, most surfaces are approximated by simplicial complexes, namely triangular meshes. It is therefore necessary to have a discrete analog of quasi-conformal geometry on discrete meshes. In this section, we will formulate the definitions of *discrete quasi-conformal maps* and their associated *discrete beltrami differentials*.

Suppose M is trianglar mesh, V, E, F are vertex, edge and face set respectively. We use v_i to denote the *ith* vertex; $[v_i, v_j]$ the edge from v_i to v_j ; $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$ as the face, where the vertices are sorted counterclock-wisely. On triangular meshes, we can derive a discrete version of Ricci flow, called the *discrete Yamabe flow*, which is analogous to the curvature flow on smooth surfaces. In this section, we describe in detail the discrete Euclidean and hyperbolic curvature flow that converge to the Euclidean and hyperbolic uniformization metric respectively.

On the discrete mesh, we can define the discrete metric, which is similar to the Riemannian metric. Basically, the discrete metric gives the length of each edge.

Definition 5.1 (Discrete Metric): A discrete metric on a mesh M is a function $l : E \to \mathbb{R}^+$, such that on each triangle $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$, the triangle inequality holds,

$$l_i + l_j > l_k.$$

Discrete metric represents a configuration of edge lengths. As shown in figure 4(A), different background geometries can be assigned to a mesh.



Fig. 4. (A) shows the Euclidean and Hyperbolic triangle. (B) shows the discrete surface Yamabe flow

Definition 5.2 (*Background Geometry*): Suppose M is a mesh with a discrete metric. If all faces are Spherical (or Euclidean, or Hyperbolic triangle), then the mesh is with Spherical (or Euclidean, or Hyperbolic) background geometry, denoted as \mathbb{S}^2 (or \mathbb{E}^2 , or \mathbb{H}^2).

Discrete metric determines the corner angles on each face by the cosine law,

$$\theta_{i} = \begin{cases} \cos^{-1} \frac{l_{j}^{2} + l_{k}^{2} - l_{i}^{2}}{2l_{j}l_{k}} & \mathbb{E}^{2} \\ \cos^{-1} \frac{\cosh l_{j} \cosh l_{k} - \cosh l_{i}}{2 \sinh l_{j} \sinh l_{k}} & \mathbb{H}^{2} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Definition 5.3 (Discrete conformal deformation): Let K be a triangulation mesh. Suppose l and L are two different discrete metrics on K. We say L is a discrete conformal deformation of l if there exists a function $u: V \to \mathbb{R}$, where V is the set of all vertices of K, such that for all edges $[v_i, v_j]$ on K_1 :

$$L([f(v_i), f(v_j)]) = \begin{cases} e^{u(v_i)} l([v_i, v_j]) e^{u(v_j)} & \mathbb{E}^2\\ 2\sinh^{-1} e^{u(v_i} \sinh(\frac{l([v_i, v_j])}{2}) e^{u(v_j)} & \mathbb{H}^2 \end{cases}$$
(5)

where $[v_i, v_j]$ is an edge on K. (4(B))

Note that the definition of discrete hyperbolic conformality was due to Springborn et al. [37]. $u: V \to \mathbb{R}$ is called the discrete conformal factor. The discrete conformal factor is a function defined on every vertices of K.

Definition 5.4 (Discrete Local Charts): Let K be a triangular mesh. A mesh K_{α} is called a submesh of K if every vertices, edges and faces of K_{α} belong to K. A discrete local chart $z^{\alpha} : K_{\alpha} \to z^{\alpha}(K_{\alpha}) \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a discrete conformal map from K^{α} to a mesh $z^{\alpha}(K^{\alpha})$ embedded in the complex plane (See Figure 5).

Since the triangular meshes we consider are discrete approximations of smooth surfaces, we can assume that the triangular meshes are covered by a collection of discrete local charts.

Definition 5.5 (Discrete Beltrami Differential): A discrete Beltrami differential $\mu \frac{dz}{dz}$ is an assignment to each discrete local chart z^{α} on K_{α} an L_{∞} complex-valued function μ^{α} defined on $z^{\alpha}(K_{\alpha})$ such that:

$$\left(\frac{\mu^{\alpha}(v_{i}) + \mu^{\alpha}(v_{j})}{2}\right)\frac{\overline{z^{\alpha}(v_{j}) - z^{\alpha}(v_{i})}}{z^{\alpha}(v_{j}) - z^{\alpha}(v_{i})} = \left(\frac{\mu^{\beta}(v_{i}) + \mu^{\beta}(v_{j})}{2}\right)\frac{\overline{z^{\beta}(v_{j}) - z^{\beta}(v_{i})}}{z^{\beta}(v_{j}) - z^{\beta}(v_{i})}$$
(6)

where $[v_i, v_j]$ is any edge in the region which is also covered by another chart z_β (See Figure 5).

By letting $\mu_{ij}^{\alpha} = \frac{\mu^{\alpha}(v_i) + \mu^{\alpha}(v_j)}{2}$, $\mu_{ij}^{\beta} = \frac{\mu^{\beta}(v_i) + \mu^{\beta}(v_j)}{2}$, $dz_{ij}^{\alpha} = z^{\alpha}(v_j) - z^{\alpha}(v_i)$ and $dz_{ij}^{\beta} = z^{\beta}(v_j) - z^{\beta}(v_i)$, Equation 6 can be simplified as:



Fig. 5. The figure illustrates the idea of discrete local chart and discrete Beltrami differential.

$$\mu_{ij}^{\alpha} \frac{\overline{dz_{ij}^{\alpha}}}{dz_{ij}^{\alpha}} = \mu_{ij}^{\beta} \frac{dz_{ij}^{\beta}}{dz_{ij}^{\beta}}$$

which is an analog of Equation 2.

Definition 5.6 (Discrete quasi-conformal Map): Let $\mu \frac{d\overline{z}}{dz}$ be a given discrete Beltrami differential. A map $\phi : (K_1, l) \to (K_2, L)$ between meshes K_1 and K_2 is called *discrete quasi-conformal* if: with respect to a new metric \tilde{l} on K_1 , the map $\phi : (K_1, \tilde{l}) \to (K_2, L)$ is discrete conformal where: $\tilde{l}([v_i, v_j]) := l([v_i, v_j]) \frac{|dz_{ij} + \mu_{ij} \overline{dz_{ij}}|}{|dz_{ij}|}; dz_{ij} = z(v_j) - z(v_i); \mu_{ij} = \frac{\mu_i + \mu_j}{2}$ for any local isothermal coordinates z of K_1 .

 \tilde{l} is called the *discrete auxiliary metric* associated with $\mu \frac{d\bar{z}}{dz}$. Note that the definition is well-defined. Suppose an edge $[v_i, v_j]$ is covered by both charts z^{α} and z^{β} , we have

$$\begin{split} l([v_i, v_j]) \frac{|dz_{ij}^{\alpha} + \mu_{ij}^{\alpha} \overline{dz_{ij}^{\alpha}}|}{|dz_{ij}^{\alpha}|} &= l([v_i, v_j])|1 + \mu_{ij}^{\alpha} \frac{\overline{dz_{ij}^{\alpha}}}{dz_{ij}^{\alpha}}|\\ &= l([v_i, v_j])|1 + \mu_{ij}^{\beta} \frac{\overline{dz_{ij}^{\beta}}}{dz_{ij}^{\beta}}|\\ &= l([v_i, v_j]) \frac{|dz_{ij}^{\beta} + \mu_{ij}^{\beta} \overline{dz_{ij}^{\beta}}|}{|dz_{ij}^{\beta}|} \end{split}$$

The following analogous theorem of Theorem 4.1 is observed immediately from the above definitions of discrete quasi-conformal maps and discrete auxiliary metric.

Theorem 5.7: Suppose (K_1, l) and (K_2, L) are two metric triangular meshes, $\phi : K_1 \to K_2$ is a quasi-conformal map, its Beltrami differential is $\mu_{\overline{dz}}^{\overline{dz}}$. Under the auxiliary metric \tilde{l} associated with $\mu_{\overline{dz}}^{\overline{dz}}$, the map $\phi : (K_1, \tilde{l}) \to (S_2, L)$ is discrete conformal.

Theorem 5.7 will be used for the computation of discrete quasi-conformal maps (Section VI).

VI. COMPUTATION OF DISCRETE QUASI-CONFORMAL MAPS

In this section, we describe in detail the numerical algorithm to compute the discrete quasi-conformal map associated to a given beltrami differential. Based on Theorem 5.7, the algorithm consists of two main steps: 1. Compute the discrete auxiliary metric associated to the prescribed beltrami differential; 2. Under the discrete auxiliary metric, compute the conformal parameterization using the discrete Yamabe flow method. We call this algorithm to compute discrete quasi-conformal map the discrete Quasi-Yamabe flow.

• Auxiliary metric computation: The first step of our algorithm is to compute the auxiliary metric associated to a given Beltrami differential. The formula for computing the auxiliary metric is given in Definition 5.5. The detail computational algorithm can be summarized as follows:

Algorithm 1 : Auxiliary Metric

Input : Conformal parameterization $z: V \to \mathbb{C}$, discrete Beltrami differential $\mu: V \to \mathbb{C}$. **Output** : *Discrete auxiliary metric l*.

- 1) For each edge $[v_i, v_j]$, compute the edge length l_{ij} using the Euclidean metric For all : *Edge* $[v_i, v_j]$ do
- 2) $dz \leftarrow z(v_i) z(v_i)$
- 3) $\mu \leftarrow \frac{1}{2}(\mu(v_i) + \mu(v_j))$ 4) $\lambda \leftarrow \frac{|dz + \mu d\overline{z}|}{|dz|}$ 5) $l_{ij} \leftarrow \lambda l_{ij}$
- - end for

• Conformal parameterization under the auxiliary metric: After the discrete auxiliary metric is computed, we can then compute the discrete quasi-conformal map simply by computing the discrete conformal map under the new metric. We will use the discrete Yamabe flow method to compute the conformal map. The discrete Yamabe flow iteratively deform the metric conformally on the source surface to a uniformization metric, which induces constant Gaussian curvature.

On a triangular mesh M, the discrete Gaussian curvature is defined as angle deficient. Suppose $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$ is a face in M, θ_i^{jk} represent the corner angle at v_i on the face. The discrete Gaussian curvature of v_i is defined as 1

$$K_{i} = \begin{cases} 2\pi - \sum_{jk} \theta_{i}^{jk} & v_{i} \notin \partial M \\ \pi - \sum_{jk} \theta_{i}^{jk} & v_{i} \in \partial M \end{cases}$$
(7)

Now, suppose the discrete conformal deformation under the discrete Yamabe flow is given by the discrete conformal factor $\mathbf{u}: V \to \mathbb{R}$ (See Definition 5.5). Let \overline{K}_i denote the target curvature at v_i . The discrete Yamabe flow has the following formula:

$$\frac{du_i}{dt} = \overline{K}_i - K_i. \tag{8}$$

The discrete Yamabe flow is the negative gradient flow of the Yamabe energy. Let $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n)$ and $\mathbf{u_0} = (0, 0, ..., 0)$. The discrete Yamabe energy has the form:

$$E(\mathbf{u}) = \int_{\mathbf{u}_0}^{\mathbf{u}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\overline{K}_i - K_i) du_i.$$
(9)

The Hessian matrix (h_{ij}) of E can be computed explicitly. Let $[v_i, v_j]$ be an edge, connecting two faces $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$ and $[v_j, v_i, v_l]$, then Hessian matrix (h_{ij}) satisfies

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\partial \theta_i^{jk}}{\partial u_j} + \frac{\partial \theta_i^{lj}}{\partial u_j} \quad \text{and} \quad h_{ii} = \sum_{j,k} \frac{\partial \theta_i^{jk}}{\partial u_i}$$
(10)

where the summation goes through all faces surrounding v_i , $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$. Here,

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_i} = \begin{cases} -\cot \theta_j - \cot \theta_k & \mathbb{E}^2 \\ -\frac{2c_i c_j c_k - c_j^2 - c_k^2 + c_i c_j + c_i c_k - c_j - c_k}{A(c_j + 1)(c_k + 1)} & \mathbb{H}^2 \end{cases}$$
(11)

$$\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} = \begin{cases} \cot \theta_k & \mathbb{E}^2\\ \frac{c_i + c_j - c_k - 1}{A(c_k + 1)} & \mathbb{H}^2 \end{cases}$$
(12)

where in \mathbb{H}^2 case, c_k is $\cosh(y_k)$ and A is $\sin(\theta_k) \sinh(y_i) \sinh(y_i)$.

Algorithm 2 describes the details for the discrete Yamabe flow.

Algorithm 2 : Discrete Yamabe Flow

Require : A triangular mesh M, the target curvature \overline{K} .

- 1) Initialize u_i to zero for each vertex v_i .
- 2) Repeat
- 3) For each edge, compute the edge length using formula 5.
- 4) For each face $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$ compute the corner angles θ_i, θ_j and θ_k .
- 5) For each face $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$, compute $\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j}, \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial u_k}$, and $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial u_i}$ using formula 12. 6) For each face $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$, compute $\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_i}, \frac{\partial \theta_j}{\partial u_j}$ and $\frac{\partial \theta_k}{\partial u_k}$ using formula 11.
- 7) Construct the Hessian matrix H using formula 10 and ??.
- 8) Solve linear system $H\delta \mathbf{u} = K K$.
- 9) Update discrete conformal factor $\mathbf{u} \leftarrow \mathbf{u} + \delta \mathbf{u}$.
- 10) For each vertex v_i , compute the Gaussian curvature K_i .
- 11) until $\max_{v_i \in M} |\overline{K}_i K_i| < \epsilon$

After computing the discrete metric of the mesh, we can embed the mesh onto \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{H}^2 . Here, we discuss the hyperbolic case. Euclidean case is very similar.

Basically, we can isometrically flatten triangle by triangle using the hyperbolic cosine law, as described in algorithm 3.

Algorithm 3 : Embed on \mathbb{H}^2

Input : A triangular mesh M, a set of fundamental group generators intersecting only at the base point p, using the algorithm in [30]

- 1) Slice M along the base loops to form a fundamental domain \overline{M} .
- 2) Embed the first triangle $[v_0, v_1, v_2] \in \overline{M}$,

$$\tau(v_0) = (0,0), \tau(v_1) = \tanh \frac{l_{01}}{2}, \tau(v_2) = \tanh \frac{l_{02}}{2}e^{i\theta_0^{12}}.$$

3) Put all the neighboring faces of the first face to a face queue.

While : the face queue is not empty

- 4) Pop the first face from the queue $[v_i, v_j, v_k]$
- 5) Suppose v_i and v_j has been embedded, compute the intersection of two hyperbolic circles

 $(\tau(v_i), l_{ik}) \cap (\tau(v_i), l_{ik})$

by converting them to Euclidean circles,

- 6) $\tau(v_k)$ is chosen the keep the orientation of the face upward.
- 7) Put the neighboring faces, which haven't accessed, to the queue.

VII. NUMERICAL CONVERGENCY OF DISCRETE QUASI-YAMABE FLOW

We now study the numerical convergency of our proposed discrete Quasi-Yamabe flow method.

Firstly, the convexity of the Yamabe energy can be obtained by carefully examining the positive definiteness of the Hessian.

Theorem 7.1: The discrete Yamabe energy is convex on the space of $\sum_i u_i = 0$ in the Euclidean case. The discrete Yamabe energy is convex in the hyperbolic case.

Proof: The local convexity of the Euclidean Yamabe energy is due to the fact that the Hessian of E(u) is the matrix (h_{ij}) which is semi-positive definite. Clearly, the summation of each row is zero and only the diagonal elements are positive. Furthermore, since the matrix is positive definite on the linear space $\sum_i u_i = 0$, it follows that H is locally strictly convex on the planes. For detail, please see [36].

We now prove the convexity of the hyperbolic Yamabe energy. This fact was also known to Springborn et al. ahead of us. We prove the Hessian matrix of the hyperbolic Yamabe energy is positive definite. Let a_1, a_2, a_3 be the lengths of a hyperbolic triangle. Make conformal change to produce a new hyperbolic triangle of lengths y_1, y_2, y_3 so that $\sinh(y_i/2) = \sinh(a_i/2)e^{u_j+u_k}$, $\{i, j, k\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$. θ_i represents the corner angle at the vertex v_i . Let $H = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} \end{bmatrix}$ be the matrix.

Fact 1 : $det(H) \neq 0$ for all u's. Indeed, the map from $(u_1, u_2, u_3) \rightarrow (\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3)$ is a diffeomorphism.

Fact 2 : For any (a_1, a_2, a_3) m the set of all $u = (u_1, u_2, u_3)$ such that $y_i/2 = 2 \sinh^{-1}(\sinh(a_i/2)e^{u_j+u_k})$ satisfies triangular inequalities: $y_1 + y_2 > y_3$, $y_1 + y_3 > y_2$ and $y_2 + y_3 > y_1$ form a connected set Ω in \mathbb{R}^3 .

Fact 3: Since Ω is connected and H is symmetric in Ω so that $det(H) \neq 0$, the signature of H is a constant.

Fact 4 : Choose those u_1, u_2, u_3 so that $y_1 = y_2 = y_3$, we see easily by computation that the Hessian H is positive definite.

Thus, H is positive definite over all Ω .

Now to prove Fact 2:, introduce a new variable $t_i = e^{u_j + u_k}$. The map $u \mapsto t$ is a diffeomorphism. Thus, it suffices to prove that the set $\Omega^1 = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3_{>0} | y_i = 2 \sinh^{-1}(t_i \sinh(\frac{a_i}{2})) \text{ satisfies triangular inequalities } \}$ is connected. Fix t_2, t_3 , we will show that the set of all t such that

$$|\sinh^{-1}(t_2\sinh(\frac{a_2}{2})) - \sinh^{-1}(t_3\sinh(\frac{a_3}{2}))| < \sinh^{-1}(t_2\sinh(\frac{a_2}{2})) + \sinh^{-1}(t_3\sinh(\frac{a_3}{2}))$$

is connected. This is obvious since $f(t) = \sinh^{-1}$ is a strictly increasing function in t.

The discrete Yamabe energy can be optimized using Newton's method directly. Given the mesh M, a conformal factor vector **u** is *admissible*, if the deformed metric satisfies the triangle inequality on each face. The space of all admissible conformal factors is not convex in either Euclidean or Hyperbolic case. In practice, the step length in Newton's method needs to be adjusted. Once triangle inequality doesn't hold on a face, edge swap needs to be performed. After finitely many such surgery operations on the triangulation mesh, there will be no singularity developed in the normalized discrete Yamabe flow. We can then prove that the discrete Quasi-Yamabe flow converges exponentially fast to the discrete auxiliary metric with constant curvature. Specifically, we have

PREPRINT

Theorem 7.2: If no singularity develops in the discrete Quasi-Yamabe flow after finitely many of surgery operations, the discrete auxiliary metric converges exponentially fast to a discrete uniformization metric with constant curvature as time approaches infinity. In other words,

$$|K_i(t) - \overline{K}_i| \le c_1 e^{-c_2 t}$$

for some constants c_1 and c_2 and $K_i(t)$ is the discrete curvature at vertex v_i at time t.

Proof: The solution $u(t) = (u_1(t), ..., u_N(t))$ of the discrete Yamabe flow exists for all time so that there are no singularities forming at time equal to infinity. This means that $u_i(t)$'s are in some compact interval in $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$ and also all inner angles $\theta_i^{ij}(t)$ are in some compact interval inside the interval $(0, \pi)$. The matrix $(c_{ij}) = (\frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j})$ has properties that the sum of entries in every row is zero and the diagonal entries are negative. (c_{ij}) is symmetric and semi-negative definite. This implies that there is a positive constant λ so that the eigenvalues of (c_{ij}) considered as a bilinear form restricted to the subspace $\{w \in \mathbb{R}^N | w_1 + ... + w_N = 0\}$ is always bounded by $-\lambda$ for all time $t \in [0, \infty)$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} w_i w_j \le -\lambda \sum_i w_i^2$$

when $\sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i = 0$. Note that

$$\frac{dK_i(t)}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt}(2\pi - \sum_{j,k} \theta_i^{jk}) = -\sum_{j,k} \frac{d\theta_i^{jk}}{dt}$$
$$= -\sum_j \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} \frac{du_j}{dt} = \sum_j \frac{\partial \theta_i}{\partial u_j} (K_j - \overline{K}_j)$$

Now, consider $G(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} (K_i(t) - \overline{K}_i)^2$. Its derivative can be calculated as

$$G'(t) = 2\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} (K_i - \overline{K}_i) (K_i - \overline{K}_i)$$

We have, $G'(t) \leq -\lambda G(t)$. Thus, $G(t) \leq Ce^{-\lambda t}$ and so

$$|K_i(t) - \overline{K}_i| \le c_1 e^{-c_2 t}$$

Figure 6 shows the exponential convergence of the discrete Yamabe flow method. The Yamabe energy at each iteration of two different real human faces are plotted on the left and right respectively.

Theorem 7.3: Let f be a quasi-conformal map with Beltrami differential $\mu \frac{dz}{dz}$. Suppose \tilde{f}_h is a discrete quasi-conformal map associated to $\mu \frac{dz}{dz}$ on a triangulation mesh with mesh size h, which approximates f. Then $\tilde{f}_h \to f$ as $h \to 0$.

Proof: See Appendix.

VIII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

We implement our algorithm using generic C++ on Windows platform. The linear systems are solved using conjugate gradient method. The experiments are carried out on a laptop with 2.0 GHZ CPU, 3.00 G RAM. The human face surfaces are captured using phase shifting structured light method. Computational time is reported in Table I.



Fig. 6. The figure shows the exponential convergence of the discrete Yamabe flow method. The Yamabe energy at each iteration of two different real human faces are plotted on the left and right respectively.

A. Quasi-conformal Map for Genus Zero Surfaces

Figure 7 shows the experimental results for quasi-conformal maps of the human face surface. The original face is shown in the top left corner. Four corner vertices are selected on the boundary, shown as p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3 . We set the target curvature to be $\frac{\pi}{2}$ for those corner vertices, and zero for all vertices everywhere else. The Yamabe flow conformally maps the surface onto a planar rectangle. The corner vertices are mapped to the rectangle corners. We set the left lower corner to be the origin, the edges to be parallel to the axes, the width to be 1. Then the height h gives us the conformal module of the original face surface with four fixed corners (topological quadrilateral). This provides us the conformal parameter z of the surface.

In the second and the third row, we set different Beltrami coefficients. The image of the quasi-conformal map is shown on the left, the circle packing texture mapping is shown on the right. The Beltrami coefficient is set to be $\mu = \frac{z-z_0}{2\sqrt{1+h^2}}$, with different values of z_0 for different cases. It is obvious that, the conformal module of the surface changes with different Beltrami coefficients.

Figure 8 and 9 show the quasi-conformal maps for genus zero surfaces with multiply holes. In Figure 8, the human face surface is sliced open along the lip of the mouth which results in a doubly-connected open surface. Again, we set different Beltrami coefficients and compute the associated discrete auxiliary metrics. Using the discrete Yamabe flow, we conformally map the surface onto the annulus with respect to different auxiliary metrics. The target curvature is set to be zero in the interior and constant along the boundaries. The radius of the inner circles are different with different Beltrami coefficients, indicating a change in the conformal module. Figure 9 shows the similar results for the genus zero human face surface with three slices (topological disk with 3 holes).

B. Composition of Quasi-conformal Maps

In the following experiment, we test the accuracy of our algorithm by computing the composed quasiconformal maps using different approaches, and comparing their difference. If our method is accurate, the difference between the results obtained from the two approaches should be small.

Let $f: S \to D_1$ be a quasi-conformal map with Beltrami coefficient μ_f , $g: D_1 \to D_2$ with Beltrami coefficient μ_g . Then the composed map $g \circ f: S \to D_2$ should have the Beltrami coefficient

$$\mu_{g\circ f} = \frac{\mu_f + (\mu_g \circ f)\tau}{1 + \overline{\mu_f}(\mu_g \circ f)\tau},\tag{13}$$

where $\tau = \frac{\overline{f_z}}{f_z}$.

As shown in figure 10, in our experiment, the original surface is a human face surface with four corner points (a topological quadrilateral), as shown in (a). We compute its conformal parameter domain, as



 $z_0 = 1 + ih$

Fig. 7. Conformal and Quasi-conformal maps for a face surface. The conformal parameter domain is a rectangle with unit width and h height on the 1st row. For all other rows $\mu = \frac{z-z_0}{2\sqrt{1+h^2}}$ with different z_0 's.

shown in (b), (c) and (d). Then we set the Beltrami coefficient μ_f to be 0.15 + i0.15, and use our method to compute a quasi-conformal map $f: S \to D_1$. The mapping result of f is shown in (e) and (f). We set $\mu_g = 0.15 + i0.15$, and compute the quasi-conformal map $g: D_1 \to D_2$, as shown in (g) and (h).

We use the formula in Equation 13 to compute the Beltrami coefficient for the composed map $\mu_{g\circ f} = 0.34 + i0.12$. We then solve the Beltrami equation $h_{\overline{z}} = \mu_{g\circ f}h_z$ to get a quasi-conformal map $h: S \to D_2$. In theory, h should coincide with $g \circ f$. Our experimental result shows that h is consistent with $g \circ f$. By comparing the result in (g) and (i), we can see the results of $g \circ f$ and h are almost identical. We further measure the deviation between them numerically, using the following formula,

$$d(f,g) = \frac{1}{diag(S)A} \int_{S} |f(p) - g(p)| dp,$$

where A is the area of S, diag(S) is the diagonal of the bounding box of S. The distance is the L^1 norm between f and g, normalized by the diagonal of surface. In our experiment, the distance is 0.000044, which is very small. This shows that our quasi-conformal map method is accurate. Figure 11 shows the histogram of the real part, imaginary part and argument of μ_f , μ_g and $\mu_{f\circ g}$. (A), (B) and (C) shows the histograms of the real part, imaginary part and argument of the Beltrami coefficient μ_f of f computed by our method. The histograms show that $\text{Real}(\mu_f) = 0.15$, $\text{Imag}(\mu_f) = 0.15$ and $\arg(\mu_f) = 0.7854$ on almost all vertices, which agree with the exact solution. (D), (E) and (F) shows the histograms of the Beltrami coefficient μ_g of g. (G), (H) and (I) shows the histogram of the real part, imaginary part



Fig. 8. Conformal and Quasi-conformal maps for a topological annulus.

and argument of the Beltrami coefficient $\mu_{f \circ g}$ of the composition map $f \circ g$. The histograms show that $\operatorname{Real}(\mu_{f \circ g}) = 0.35$, $\operatorname{Imag}(\mu_f) = 0.12$ and $\operatorname{arg}(\mu_f) = 0.34$ on almost all vertices, which agree with the exact solution.

C. Quasi-conformal Maps for Genus One Closed Surface

We test our algorithm for genus one closed surface as shown in Figure 12. We first set μ to be zero, and compute a conformal flat metric using the curvature flow. Then we compute a homology basis, $\{a, b\}$ as shown in the leftmost frame of the top row. We then embed a finite portion of the universal covering space of the Kitten surface using the flat metric, shown in the right frame of the first row. The red rectangle shows the fundamental polygon, which is a parallelogram, with two adjacent edges z_a and z_b . The lattice Γ is formed by the translations generated by z_a and z_b ,

$$\Gamma = \{mz_a + nz_b | m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

The Kitten surface can be represented as the quotient space $M = \frac{\mathbb{R}^2}{\Gamma}$. This gives the conformal parameter domain of the surface. The rightmost frame of the first row illustrates the circle packing texture mapping induced by the conformal parameterization. In the second and the third row, we set different Beltrami coefficients. $\mu(z)$ are constants for the second row.

For the last row, the Beltrami coefficient is defined in a more complicated way. Because μ is defined on the Kitten surface, then it must satisfy the following consistency condition $\mu(z) = \mu(z + mz_a + nz_b)$. Given a point $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we can find a pair of real numbers $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$, such that $z \equiv \alpha z_a + \beta z_b (mod\Gamma)$. Then μ is defined as $\mu(z) = \frac{1}{4}(\cos 2\pi\alpha + i\cos 2\pi\beta)$, which satisfies the above consistency condition.

D. Quasi-conformal Maps for Genus Two Surfaces

Our method can compute quasi-conformal maps for high genus surfaces, as shown in Figure 13. We use hyperbolic Yamabe flow to compute the hyperbolic metric of the surface, then the homology basis



Fig. 9. Conformal and Quasi-conformal maps for a multiply connected domain.

 $\{a_1, b_1, a_2, b_2\}$. We flatten a fundamental domain, compute the Fuchsian group generators, and flatten a finite portion of the universal covering space of the surface. Details can be found in [29], [30]. This gives a conformal atlas of the surface. Because of the difference between hyperbolic metric and Euclidean metric, the texture mappings have seams in Figure 13 along the homology basis. Suppose z and w are two local parameters, differ by a Möbius transformation, then μ should satisfy the following consistency relation (See Definition 5.5):

$$\mu(w)\frac{\overline{w_z}}{w_z} = \mu(z).$$

For example, let $p \in S$ is a point on $a_k p \in a_k$, it has two parameters $z_p \in a_k^+$ and $w_p \in a_k^-$, $w_p = \alpha_k(z_p)$. Then $\mu(z_p)$ and $\mu(w_p)$ should satisfy the above consistent constraint. In our experiments, we find a n-ring neighbor (n = 4) of a_k, b_k , denoted as R, then define $\mu(v_i) = z_0$ for all vertices v_i not in R, $\mu(v_i) = 0$, for v_i in a_k or b_k . μ is extended to other vertices as a complex valued harmonic function, $\Delta \mu(v_i) = 0$, $v_i \notin R \cup a_k \cup b_k$, where Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator of the original surface. This will ensure the consistency relation holds for μ . In Figure 13, z_0 is 0.2 + i0.2 for the left frame of second row, 0.3 for the right frame of the second row, and $z_0 = z$ for the last row. From the figure, we can see the deformation of the conformal structure of the surface (shape of the fundamental domain) with different Beltrami coefficients. The results show that our method can be applied effectively on general Riemann surfaces of high genus.



(i) Image of $h: S \rightarrow D_2$ with $\mu_{f \circ g}$

(j) Texture mapping induced by (i)

Fig. 10. Composed quasi-conformal maps for a topological quadrilateral.

Figure #vertices #faces time(s) 99 20184 39984 7 10 49982 131 25220 20184 39984 101 1 29990 8 15306 87 9 26304 108 13515 12 10000 20000 25 13 2057 4118 31

TABLE I COMPUTATIONAL TIME.

IX. CONCLUSION

Many surface mappings are quasi-conformal in the real world. According to quasi-conformal Teichmüller theory, in general, there exists an one-to-one map between the quasi-conformal maps and the Beltrami coefficients. This work introduces a method to compute quasi-conformal map from Beltrami differentials using auxiliary metric method. The auxiliary metric is constructed from the Beltrami differential, such that the desired quasi-conformal map becomes a conformal one under the auxiliary metric. Theoretic proof shows the rigor of the method, experimental results demonstrate the generality and accuracy of the algorithm. Auxiliary metric method can also be applied to most existing conformal parameterization methods.





(d) Texture mapping induced by (c)

18



Fig. 11. Histogram of the real part, imaginary part and argument of the Beltrami coefficients.

Acknowldgement:: TC is supported by NSF GEO-0610079, NSF IIS-0914580, NIH U54 RR021813 and ONR N00014-09-1-0105. XG is supported by NIH 1R01EB0075300A1, NSF IIS 0916286, CCF0916235, CCF0830550, III0713145, and ONR N000140910228.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ahlfors, "Conformality with respect to riemannian matrices," Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser., vol. 206, pp. 1–22, 1955.
- [2] H. Grotzsch, "Uber die verzerrung bei schlichten nichtkonformen abbildungen und eine damit zusammenh angende erweiterung des picardschen," Rec. Math., vol. 80, pp. 503–507, 1928.
- [3] C. Morrey, "On the solutions of quasi-linear elliptic differential equations," Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 43, pp. 126-166, 1938.
- [4] M. Lavrentjev, "Sur une classe de representations continues," Rec. Math., vol. 48, pp. 407–423, 1935.
- [5] L. Ahlfors, Lectures in Quasiconformal Mappings. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1966.
- [6] O. Lehto and K. Virtanen, Quasiconformal Mapping in the Plane. Springer, Berlin, 1973.
- [7] L. Bers, "Quasiconformal mappings, with applications to differential equations, function theory and topology," *Amer. Math. Soc. Bull.*, vol. 83, no. 6, p. 10831100, 1977.
- [8] L. Carleson and T. Gamelin, Complex Dynamics. Springer, New York, 1993.
- [9] S. G. P.P. Belinskii and I. Yanenko, "The use of a class of quasiconformal mappings to construct difference nets in domains with curvilinear boundaries," USSR Comp. Math. Phys., vol. 15, pp. 133–144, 1975.
- [10] L. Bers, Mathematical Aspects of Subcritical and Transonic Gas Dynamics. Wiley, New York, 1958.



Fig. 12. Conformal and Quasi-conformal maps for a genus one surface.

- [11] L. Bers and L. Nirenberg, "On a representation theorem for linear elliptic systems with discontinuous coefficients and its applications," Convegno Internazionale Suelle Equaziono Cremeonese, Roma, pp. 111–140, 1955.
- [12] Bers and L. Nirenberg, "On linear and nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems in the plane," Convegno Internazionale Suelle Equaziono Cremeonese, Roma, pp. 141–167, 1955.
- [13] P. Daripa, "On a numerical method for quasiconformal grid generation," J. Comput. Phys., vol. 96, pp. 229-236, 1991.
- [14] C. Mastin and J. Thompson, "Discrete quasiconformal mappings," Z. Angew. Math. Phys., vol. 29, pp. 1–11, 1978.
- [15] M. S. Floater and K. Hormann, "Surface parameterization: a tutorial and survey," in Advances in Multiresolution for Geometric Modelling. Springer, 2005, pp. 157–186.
- [16] A. Sheffer, E. Praun, and K. Rose, "Mesh parameterization methods and their applications," *Foundations and Trends*[®] *in Computer Graphics and Vision*, To appear.
- [17] K. Hormann, B. Levy, and A. Sheffer, "Mesh parameterization," SIGGRAPH 2007 Course Notes 2, 2007.
- [18] B. Lévy, S. Petitjean, N. Ray, and J. Maillot, "Least squares conformal maps for automatic texture atlas generation," SIGGRAPH 2002, pp. 362–371, 2002.
- [19] M. Desbrun, M. Meyer, and P. Alliez, "Intrinsic parameterizations of surface meshes," *Computer Graphics Forum (Proc. Eurographics 2002)*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 209–218, 2002.
- [20] A. Sheffer and E. de Sturler, "Parameterization of faced surfaces for meshing using angle based flattening," *Engineering with Computers*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 326–337, 2001.
- [21] A. Sheffer, B. Lévy, M. Mogilnitsky, and A. Bogomyakov, "ABF++: Fast and robust angle based flattening," ACM Transactions on Graphics, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 311–330, 2005.
- [22] R. Zayer and H. P. S. B. Levy, "Linear angle based parameterization," in In Symposium on Geometry Processing, 2007, pp. 135-141.
- [23] X. Gu and S.-T. Yau, "Global conformal parameterization," in Symposium on Geometry Processing, 2003, pp. 127–137.
- [24] S. J. Gortler, C. Gotsman, and D. Thurston, "Discrete one-forms on meshes and applications to 3D mesh parameterization," *Computer Aided Geometric Design*, vol. 23, no. 2, pp. 83–112, 2005.
- [25] N. Ray, W. C. Li, B. Levy, A. Sheffer, and P. Alliez, "Periodic global parameterization," ACM Transactions on Graphics, vol. 25, no. 4, pp. 1460–1485, 2005.



Fig. 13. Conformal and Quasi-conformal maps for a genus two surface.

- [26] K. P. F. Kalberer, M. Nieser, "Quadcover- surface parameterization using branched coverings." *Comput. Graph.*, vol. 26, no. 3, p. 375384, 2007.
- [27] C. Gotsman, X. Gu, and A. Sheffer, "Fundamentals of spherical parameterization for 3d meshes," ACM Transactions on Graphics, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 358–363, 2003.
- [28] E. Praun and H. Hoppe, "Spherical parametrization and remeshing," ACM Transactions on Graphics, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 340–349, 2003.
- [29] C. Grimm and J. F. Hughes, "Parameterizing N-holed tori," in IMA Conference on the Mathematics of Surfaces, 2003, pp. 14-29.
- [30] M. Jin, J. Kim, F. Luo, and X. Gu, "Discrete surface ricci flow." *IEEE Transaction on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, vol. 14, no. 5, p. 10301043, 2008.
- [31] P. L. Bowers and M. Hurdal, "Planar conformal mapping of piecewise flat surfaces," In Visualization and Mathematics III (Berlin, 2003), Springer-Verlag, pp. 3–34.
- [32] A. I. Bobenko and B. A. Springborn, "Variational principles for circle patterns and koebe's theorem," *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, vol. 356, pp. 659–689, 2004.
- [33] L. Kharevych, B. Springerborn, and P. Schroder, "Discrete conformal mappings via circle patterns," ACM Trans. Graph., vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 412–438, 2006.
- [34] B. Chow and F. Luo, "Combinatorial ricci flows on surfaces," Journal Differential Geometry, vol. 63, no. 1, pp. 97–129, 2003.
- [35] M. Ben-Chen, C. Gotsman, and G. Bunin, "Conformal flattening by curvature prescription and metric scaling," *Comput. Graph. Forum*, vol. 27, no. 2, p. 449458, 2008.
- [36] F. Luo, "Combinatorial yamabe flow on surfaces." Commun. Contemp. Math, vol. 6, no. 5, pp. 765-780, 2004.
- [37] A. Bobenko, B. Springborn, and U. Pinkall, "Discrete conformal equivalence and ideal hyperbolic polyhedra," In preparation.
- [38] B. Springborn, P. Schrooder, and U. Pinkall, "Conformal equivalence of triangle meshes," ACM Transactions on Graphics, vol. 27, no. 3, pp. 1–11, 2008.
- [39] C. Mastin and J. Thompson, "Quasiconformal mappings and grid generation," SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 305–310, 1984.

- [40] A. Vlasyuk, "Automatic construction of conformal and quasiconformal mapping of doubly connected and triple connected domains," Akad. Nauk Ukrainy Inst. Mat., preprint (Akademiya Nauk Ukrainy Institut Matematiki, preprint), vol. 57, pp. 1–57, 1991.
- [41] J.Weisel, "Numerische ermittlung quasikonformer abbildungen mit finiten elementen," Numer. Math., vol. 35, pp. 201-222, 1980.
- [42] P. Daripa, "A fast algorithm to solve nonhomogeneous cauchyriemann equations in the complex plane," *SIAM J. Sci. Statist. Comput.*, vol. 13, no. 6, pp. 1418–1432, 1992.
- [43] P. Daripa and D. Masha, "An efficient and novel numerical method for quasiconformal mappings of doubly connected domains," *Numerical Algorithm*, vol. 18, p. 159175, 1998.
- [44] H. W. Guggenheimer, Differential Geometry. Dover Publications, 1977.
- [45] H. M. Farkas and I. Kra, Riemann Surfaces. Springer, 2004.
- [46] R. S. Hamilton, "Three manifolds with positive ricci curvature," Journal of Differential Geometry., vol. 17, pp. 255-306, 1982.
- [47] B. Chow, "The ricci flow on the 2-sphere," J. Differential Geom., vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 325-334, 1991.