QCMC: Quasi-conformal Parameterizations for Multiply-connected domains

Kin Tat Ho · Lok Ming Lui

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract This paper presents a method to compute the quasi-conformal parameterization (QCMC) for a multiply-connected 2D domain or surface. QCMC computes a quasi-conformal map from a multiply-connected domain S onto a punctured disk D_S associated with a given Beltrami differential. The Beltrami differential, which measures the conformality distortion, is a complex-valued function $\mu: S \to \mathbb{C}$ with supremum norm strictly less than 1. Every Beltrami differential gives a conformal structure of S. Hence, the conformal module of D_S , which are the radii and centers of the inner circles, can be fully determined by μ , up to a Möbius transformation. In this paper, we propose an iterative algorithm to simultaneously search for the conformal module and the optimal quasi-conformal parameterization. The key idea is to minimize the Beltrami energy with the conformal module of the parameter domain incorporated. The optimal solution is our desired quasi-conformal parameterization onto a punctured disk. The parameterization of the multiply-connected domain simplifies numerical computations and has important applications in various fields, such as in computer graphics and visions. Experiments have been carried out on synthetic data together with real multiply-connected Riemann surfaces. Results show that our proposed method can efficiently compute quasi-conformal parameterizations of multiply-connected domains and outperforms other state-of-the-art algorithms. Applications of the proposed parameterization technique have also been explored.

Keywords Quasi-conformal \cdot parameterization \cdot multiply-connected \cdot Beltrami differential \cdot conformal module \cdot Beltrami energy

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000) 37K25 · 68U05 · 68U10

1 Introduction

Parameterization refers to the process of mapping a complicated domain one-to-one and onto a simple canonical domain. For example, according to the Riemann mapping theorem, a simply-connected open surface can be conformally mapped onto the unit disk \mathbb{D} . The geometry of the canonical domain is usually much simpler than its original domain. Hence, by parameterizing a complicated domain onto its simple parameter domain, a lot of numerical computations can be simplified.

Parameterizations have been extensively studied and various parameterization algorithms have been developed. In particular, conformal parameterizations have been widely used, since it preserves the local geometry well. For example, in computer graphics, conformal parameterizations of 3D surfaces onto 2D images have been applied for texture mapping [2]. While in medical imaging, conformal parameterizations have been used for obtaining surface registration between various anatomical structures, such as the brain cortical surfaces [9, 10, 16]. Conformal parameterizations have also been applied to solve PDEs on complicated 2D domains or surfaces [31][40][41].

L.M. Lui

K.T. Ho

Dept. of Mathematics, Chinese University of Hong Kong E-mail: ktho@math.cuhk.edu.hk

Dept. of Mathematics, Chinese University of Hong Kong E-mail: lmlui@math.cuhk.edu.hk

In case of extra constraints have to be enforced, obtaining conformal surface parameterizations may not be feasible. In such situations, quasi-conformal parameterizations, which allow bounded amount of conformality distortions, have to be considered. The conformality distortion can be measured by Beltrami differential. Quasi-conformal parameterization of a complicated domain onto a simple parameter domain is useful and have found important applications in various fields. For example, in computer graphics, constrained texture mapping that matches feature landmarks are quasi-conformal parameterizations [26]. Besides, by parameterizing two surfaces quasi-conformally onto simple parameter domains in \mathbb{R}^2 , Quasi-conformal map between two Riemann surfaces with a given Beltrami differential can be easily computed. Quasi-conformal parameterization can also simplify the process of solving the elliptic partial differential equations (PDEs) on a complicated surface. Elliptic PDEs arise in many imaging problems, such as in surface registration. Through quasi-conformal parameterization, the elliptic PDE on a complicated domain can be formulated into a simpler PDE on the simple parameter domain. For instance, the elliptic PDE $\nabla \cdot (A \nabla f) = g$ on a multiply-connected surface S with certain boundary conditions can be converted to a simpler PDE: $\Delta f \circ \phi = g \circ \phi$ on a circle domain, where ϕ is the quasi-conformal parameterization of S whose Beltrami differential is given by A (SPD matrix). The simpler PDE defined on a simpler parameter domain can be solved much easier. Other applications of quasi-conformal parameterizations include remeshing, grid generation, texture mapping, spline fitting and so on. Because of its wide applications, various algorithms for quasi-conformal parameterizations have been proposed recently [33, 34, 15, 18].

Most parameterization algorithms deal with domains or surfaces with simple topologies, such as simply-connected open surfaces. Parameterizing domains with complicated topologies is generally challenging. In this work, our main focus is to compute the quasi-conformal parameterization (QCMC) of a multiply-connected domain onto the punctured disk (a unit disk with several inner disks removed). According to quasi-conformal Teichmüller theories, every quasi-conformal map is associated with a Beltrami differential, which is a complex-valued function defined on the source domain with supremum norm strictly less than 1. The Beltrami differential measures the conformality distortion. Given a Beltrami differential μ , a multiply-connected domain can be parameterized quasi-conformally onto a punctured disk. The inner radii and centers of the punctured disk depend on the Beltrami differential. We propose an iterative algorithm to simultaneously look for the conformal module and the quasi-conformal parameterization of a multiply-connected 2D domain or surface. The key idea is to minimize the Beltrami energy with the conformal module of the parameter domain incorporated. By incorporating the conformal module into the energy functional of the optimization problem, the quasi-conformal map together with the conformal module can be simultaneously optimized. In particular, when μ is set to be zero, a least square conformal map (LSCM) from a multiply-connected domain onto a punctured disk can be obtained. Experiments have been carried out on synthetic data together with real multiply-connected Riemann surfaces. Results show that our proposed method can efficiently compute quasi-conformal map associated to a given Beltrami differential and outperforms other state-of-the-art algorithms. Applications of the proposed parameterization technique have also been explored.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we describe some previous works closely related to this paper. The main objectives of this work are summarized in Section 3. In Section 4, we describe some basic mathematical concepts. Our proposed model is explained in details in Section 5. The numerical implementation details are described in Section 6. In Section 7, we show some experimental results of the proposed method. Applications of the proposed parameterization technique will be explored in Section 8. The paper is concluded in Section 9.

2 Related works

Parameterization has been widely studied and different parameterization algorithms have been developed. The goal is to map a 2D complicated domain or 3D surface onto a simple parameter domain, such as the unit sphere or 2D rectangle. In general, 3D surfaces are not isometric to the simple parameter domains. As a result, parameterization usually causes distortion. Tannenbaum et al. [13] proposed to obtain a close-to-isometric parameterization, called the IsoMap, which minimizes the geodesic distance distortion between pairs of vertices on the mesh. Eck et al. [3] propose the discrete harmonic map for mesh parameterization, which approximates the continuous harmonic map by minimizing a metric dispersion criterion. Dominitz et al. [1] proposed a parameterization, which is as area-preserving as possible, for texture mapping via optimal mass transportation. Graph embedding of a surface mesh has also been studied by Tutte [4]. The parameterization technique, which is now called the Tutte's embedding, was introduced. The bijectivity of the parameterization is mathematically guaranteed. Floater [5] improved the quality of the parameterization by introducing specific weights, in terms of area deformations and conformality.

Besides, conformal parameterization has been extensively studied [6,8–12,27–29,21]. Levy et al. [2] proposed to compute the least square conformal parameterization through an optimiziation approach, which is based on the least square approximation of the Cauchy-Riemann equations. Hurdal et al. [12] proposed to compute the conformal parameterizations using circle packing and applied it to register human brains. Porter [27] proposed to compute the conformal maps of simply-connected planar domains by the interpolating polynomial method. Gu et al. [9–11] proposed to compute the conformal parameterizations of Riemann surfaces for the purpose of registration using harmonic energy minimization and holomorphic 1-forms. Later, the authors proposed the curvature flow method to compute conformal parameterizations of high-genus surfaces onto their universal covering spaces, which deforms the Riemmannian metric to the uniformization metric [23–25]. Wong et al. [39] also proposed to apply the discrete Beltrami flow method to conformally parametrize high-genus surfaces to the cannonical Poincare disk, through iteratively adjusting the generators in the uniformization domain.

For multiply-connected open surfaces, various conformal parameterization techniques have been recently developed. For example, the curvature flow method has been applied to compute the conformal parameterization of a multiply-connected open domain onto a punctured disk [30,32]. Hale et al. [28] proposed to compute conformal maps to multiply-slit domains by using a Schwarz-Christoffel formulation. DeLillo et al. [29] proposed a numerical method to compute the Schwarz-Christoffel transformation for multiply-connected domains. Crowdy [42] proposed a formula for the generalized Schwarz-Christofeel conformal mapping from a bounded multiply-connected circular domain to an unbounded multiply-connected polygonal domain.

Sometimes, when further constraints are enforced, exact conformal parameterizations may not be achievable. In this case, quasi-conformal parameterizations have to be considered. Recently, various algorithms for quasi-conformal parameterizations have been developed. For example, Mastin et al. [33] proposed a finite difference scheme for constructing quasi-conformal mappings for arbitrary simply and doubly-connected region of the plane onto a rectangle. In [34], Daripa proposed a numerical construction of quasi-conformal mappings in the plane by solving the Beltrami's equation. This method was further extended to compute the quasi-conformal map of an arbitrary doubly connected domain with smooth boundaries onto an annulus [35]. All of these methods deal with domains in the complex plane. Recently, surface quasi-conformal maps have also been studied. Lui et al. [16] proposed to compute quasi-conformal registration between hippocampal surfaces which matches geometric quantities (such as curvatures) as much as possible. A method, called the Beltrami holomorphic flow, was used to obtain the optimal Beltrami coefficient associated to the registration [15, 14, 19]. Wei et al. [22] also proposed to compute quasi-conformal mapping for feature matching face registration. The Beltrami coefficient associated to a landmark points matching parameterization was approximated. However, either exact landmark matching or the bijectivity of the mapping cannot be guaranteed, especially when very large deformations occur. In order to compute quasi-conformal mapping from the Beltrami coefficients effectively, Quasi-Yamabe method was introduced, which applied the curvature flow method to compute the quasi-conformal mapping [18]. The algorithm can deal with surfaces of general topologies. Later, extremal quasi-conformal mappings, which minimize conformality distortion has been proposed [26,38]. For example, Lui et al. [26] proposed to compute the unique Teichmüller extremal map between simply-connected or multiply-connected Riemann surfaces of finite type with given Dirichlet boundary constraints. The proposed algorithm was applied for landmark-based surface parameterization. Recently, Lipman et al. [36,37] proposed to consider bounded distortion mappings, which can be used to bijectively parameterize simply-connected or multiply-connected domains with given Dirichlet boundary constraints. Most of the aforementioned existing algorithms parametrize multiply-connected domains with Dirichlet boundary conditions given. In this work, our goal is to propose a numerical method to quasi-conformally parameterize a multiply-connected domain onto a simple/canonical parameter domain (such as the punctured disk) without enforcing any Dirichlet boundary constraints.

3 Objective

In this paper, our goal is to propose an effective numerical algorithm for quasi-conformal parameterizations of multiply-connected surfaces onto their corresponding canonical domains, which are the punctured disks. The features of our proposed method are as follows.

Free of boundary constraints: Most of the existing parameterization models require setting Dirichlet boundary constraints (that is, pointwise correspondences between the boundaries of the surface and the parameter domain). In practice, it is challenging to prescribe a suitable Dirichlet boundary condition, such that the overall conformality of the parameterization will not be affected. According to Theorem 1, given a Beltrami differential, a multiply-connected surface can be quasi-conformally parameterized to a punctured disk. The geometry (radii and centers of the inner circles) of the punctured disk is determined by the Beltrami differential. Motivated by this theorem, our algorithm computes the above parameterization onto the canonical domain without setting any boundary constraints.

Type of the parameter domain: In many applications, parameterization of a surface onto a simple parameter domain helps to simplify numerical computations on the original surface. Our algorithm parameterize multiply-connected domains onto the canonical punctured disks. The punctured disk has a simple geometry, which is a unit disk with several inner disks removed. By parameterizing a surface onto the punctured disk, many numerical computations on the surface can be simplified.

Robustness and stablity: Our algorithm is robust, which can successfully work with meshes with poor triangulations (such as meshes with skinny triangular faces). Also, our method is stable under different initializations, even under a non-bijective initialization (see Figure 16).

Comparable computational time: The computational time of our algorithm is comparable to other state-of-the-art algorithms, such as the curvature flow method.

Accuracy Experimental results shows that our algorithm can compute quasi-conformal parameterization with good accuracy (see Section 7).

4 Mathematical background

In this section, we describe briefly some basic mathematical concepts closely related to this work. For details, we refer the reader to [7].

A surface S with a conformal structure is called a *Riemann surface*. Given two Riemann surfaces M and N, a map $f: M \to N$ is *conformal* if it preserves the surface metric up to a multiplicative factor called the *conformal factor*. An immediate consequence is that every conformal map preserves angles. With the angle-preserving property, a conformal map effectively preserves the local geometry of the surface structure. A generalization of conformal maps is the *quasi-conformal* maps, which are orientation preserving homeomorphisms between Riemann surfaces with bounded conformality distortion, in the sense that their first order approximations take small circles to small ellipses of bounded eccentricity [7]. Mathematically, $f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ is quasi-conformal provided that it satisfies the Beltrami equation:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}} = \mu(z) \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}.$$
(1)

for some complex-valued function μ satisfying $||\mu||_{\infty} < 1$. μ is called the *Beltrami coefficient*, which is a measure of non-conformality. It measures how far the map at each point is deviated from a conformal map. In particular, the map f is conformal around a small neighborhood of p when $\mu(p) = 0$. Infinitesimally, around a point p, f may be expressed with respect to its local parameter as follows:

$$f(z) = f(p) + f_z(p)z + f_{\overline{z}}(p)\overline{z}$$

= $f(p) + f_z(p)(z + \mu(p)\overline{z}).$ (2)

Obviously, f is not conformal if and only if $\mu(p) \neq 0$. Inside the local parameter domain, f may be considered as a map composed of a translation to f(p) together with a stretch map $S(z) = z + \mu(p)\overline{z}$, which is postcomposed by a multiplication of $f_z(p)$, which is conformal. All the conformal distortion of



Fig. 1 The figure illustrates how the conformality distortion can be measured by the Beltrami coefficient. The picture is adapted from [26].



Fig. 2 The figure illustrates how the surface quasi-conformal map is defined. The picture is adapted from [26].

S(z) is caused by $\mu(p)$. S(z) is the map that causes f to map a small circle to a small ellipse. From $\mu(p)$, we can determine the angles of the directions of maximal magnification and shrinking and the amount of them as well. Specifically, the angle of maximal magnification is $\arg(\mu(p))/2$ with magnifying factor $1 + |\mu(p)|$; The angle of maximal shrinking is the orthogonal angle $(\arg(\mu(p)) - \pi)/2$ with shrinking factor $1 - |\mu(p)|$. Thus, the Beltrami coefficient μ gives us lots of information about the properties of the map (See Figure 1).

The maximal dilation of f is given by:

$$K(f) = \frac{1 + ||\mu||_{\infty}}{1 - ||\mu||_{\infty}}.$$
(3)

Suppose $f: \Omega_1 \to \Omega_2$ and $g: \Omega_2 \to \Omega_3$ are quasi-conformal maps, whose Beltrami coefficients are μ_f and μ_g respectively. Then, the Beltrami coefficient of the composition map $g \circ f: \Omega_1 \to \Omega_3$ is given by:

$$\mu_{g \circ f} = \frac{\mu_f + r_f(\mu_g \circ f)}{1 + r_f \overline{\mu_f}(\mu_g \circ f)} \tag{4}$$

where $r_f = \overline{f_z}/f_z$.

Given a Beltrami coefficient $\mu : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ with $\|\mu\|_{\infty} < 1$. There is always a quasi-conformal mapping from \mathbb{C} onto itself which satisfies the Beltrami equation in the distribution sense [7].

Quasiconformal mapping between two Riemann surfaces S_1 and S_2 can also be defined. Instead of the Beltrami coefficient, the *Beltrami differential* is used. A Beltrami differential $\mu(z)\frac{\overline{dz}}{dz}$ on a Riemann surface S is an assignment to each chart $(U_{\alpha}, \phi_{\alpha})$ of an L_{∞} complex-valued function μ_{α} , defined on local parameter z_{α} such that

$$\mu_{\alpha}(z_{\alpha})\frac{d\overline{z_{\alpha}}}{dz_{\alpha}} = \mu_{\beta}(z_{\beta})\frac{d\overline{z_{\beta}}}{dz_{\beta}},\tag{5}$$

on the domain which is also covered by another chart $(U_{\beta}, \phi_{\beta})$. Here, $\frac{dz_{\beta}}{dz_{\alpha}} = \frac{d}{dz_{\alpha}}\phi_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\phi_{\alpha\beta} = \phi_{\beta}\circ\phi_{\alpha}^{-1}$ (See Figure 2).

Given a Beltrami differential $\mu(z) \overline{\frac{dz}{dz}}$ on a multiply-connected open surface S, the surface can always be parameterized quasi-conformally onto a punctured disk with the prescribed Beltrami differential. The geometry (centers and radii of the inner circles) depends solely on $\mu(z) \overline{\frac{dz}{dz}}$. More precisely, **Theorem 1** Suppose S is an open Riemann surface with multiple boundaries. Given a Beltrami differential $\mu(z)\frac{\overline{dz}}{\overline{dz}}$, there exist a quasi-conformal parameterization $f: S \to D_S$ with the prescribed Beltrami differential, where D_S is a unit disk with circular holes (or the punctured disk). Two such parameterizations differ by a Möbius transform.

In this work, our goal is to develop an effective numerical algorithm to compute such a quasiconformal parameterization.

5 Proposed method

The problem we address in this paper is to compute the quasi-conformal parameterization of a multiplyconnected domain S with a given Beltrami differential μ .

Without loss of generality, we may assume S to be an open connected domain in \mathbb{R}^2 . Thus, we can regard the Beltrami differential μ as the Beltrami coefficient. More specifically, if S is a multiplyconnected surface in \mathbb{R}^3 , we can easily map it onto an arbitrary 2D domain Ω using, for instance, the IsoMap [13], the spectral conformal map algorithm [21] or the Beltrami holomorphic flow algorithm with free boundary condition [15,17]. Of course, the parameter domain Ω has an arbitrary shape (see Figure 11). Denote the initial parameterization by $\phi : S \to \Omega$, whose Beltrami differential is μ_{ϕ} . Our goal is to find a quasi-conformal parameterization $f : \Omega \to D_S$ between the 2D domain Ω and the punctured disk D_S , such that the composition map has Beltrami differential equals to μ . According to equation (4), f should be a quasi-conformal map with Beltrami coefficient μ_f given by:

$$\mu_f = \frac{1}{r_\phi} \left[\frac{\mu - \mu_\phi}{1 - \mu \overline{\mu_\phi}} \right]. \tag{6}$$

With this setting, we can now mathematically formulate our problem as follows: given a multiplyconnected domain S and a Beltrami coefficient $\mu: S \to \mathbb{C}$, we look for a punctured disk :

$$D_S = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{r_i}(c_i).$$
⁽⁷⁾

where $B_{r_i}(c_i) = \{x \in \mathbb{D} : |x - c_i| < r_i\}, \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{r_i}(c_i) \subset \mathbb{D} \text{ and } \bigcap_{i=1}^m B_{r_i}(c_i) = \emptyset \ (0 < r_i < 1 \text{ and } c_i \in \mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}), \text{ together with a quasi-conformal parameterization } f : S \to D_S \text{ such that:}$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} = \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial z}.$$
(8)

Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, ..., r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, ..., c_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$. (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}) is called the *conformal module* of D_S . Solving the above problem (7) and (8) is challenging since both the conformal module of the target parameter domain and the quasi-conformal map f are unknown.

In this work, we propose a variational approach to solve the problem. Let $\partial S = \{\gamma_0, \gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n\}$ where γ_0 is the outermost boundary, $\gamma_1, ..., \gamma_n$ are the inner boundaries. Equation (8) is equivalent to solving:

$$f = \operatorname{argmin}_{f:S \to D_S} \{ || \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} - \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} ||_{\infty} \}$$

Hence, we can set up our problem as minimizing:

$$E_B(f, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}) = \{ \int_S \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}} - \mu \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^p dS \}, \tag{9}$$

subject to the constraints that: (1) $f|_{\gamma_i}(\gamma_i) = \partial B_{r_i}(c_i)$ for i = 1, 2, ..., n, (2) $f|_{\gamma_0}(\gamma_0) = \partial \mathbb{D}$ and (3) $||\mu(f)||_{\infty} := ||\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}}/\frac{\partial f}{\partial z}||_{\infty} < 1.$

The optimal map is called the quasi-conformal parameterization (QCMC) for the multiply-connected domain. Note that if we set p to be large enough, the optimization problem gives a good approximation of the quasi-conformal parameterization solving equation (8). In practice, we set p = 2 and it is found that the obtained optimal map is already a close approximation of the desired quasi-conformal

parameterization. In this case, the optimal map is a *least square quasi-conformal parameterization* (LSQCMC) for S.

Furthermore, constraint (3) guarantees the map f is folding-free (locally bijective). This can be explained as follows. In fact, the Jacobian J_f of f is closely related to the Beltrami coefficient:

$$J_f = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^2 = \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \overline{z}} \right|^2 (1 - |\mu_f|^2).$$
(10)

Since $||\mu(f)||_{\infty} < 1$, we have $|J_f| > 0$ everywhere. Hence, by the inverse function theorem, f is locally bijective. In fact, $|J_f| > 0$ also implies f induces a Riemannian metric on S. More precisely, with a Beltrami differential μ with $||\mu||_{\infty} < 1$, an auxiliary metric can be defined on S by $|dz + \mu dz|^2$, where $|dz|^2$ is the original local metric on S. Under the auxiliary metric, f is a conformal map [18]. The fundamental theory of conformal mapping states that every multiply-connected Riemann surface with a valid Riemannian metric can be mapped by a conformal and one-to-one transformation onto a punctured disk. Hence, f that solves the original problem satisfying equations (7) and (8), is in fact a global bijection.

The optimization problem (9) is not a standard L^p -minimization problem. It involves both the optimizations of the quasi-conformal map f together with the boundary constraints. In other words, we simultaneously look for the best conformal module for the boundary constraints and the optimal quasi-conformal map f satisfying the constraints such that the energy E_B is minimized. Theoretically, there exists a conformal module and quasi-conformal map f such that $E_B = 0$.

In this paper, we propose to solve this optimization problem (9) by an iterative descent algorithm, which considers both the map f and the conformal module (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c}) as variables **simultaneously**, to minimize E_B . We will explain the proposed iterative method in details in the following subsections.

5.1 Energy minimization with fixed conformal module

In this subsection, we first discuss how we can iteratively adjust the map f to minimize E_B with fixed conformal module, which is a slightly simpler problem than our original problem. More specifically, given a punctured disk $D_S = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{r_i}(c_i)$, we look for an optimal map $f: S \to D_S$ that minimizes E_B . To solve this problem, we propose to iteratively find a sequence of maps from an initial map, which converges to our desired quasi-conformal parameterization minimizing E_B . Let f^{ν} be an initial map (not necessarily bijective) with Beltrami coefficient ν . Our goal is to deform f^{ν} to another map f such that it reduces $E_B(f)$. Let $\delta f = f - f^{\nu}$ and let $\mathcal{A}(\mu)$ be the differential operator defined by $\mathcal{A}(\mu) := \frac{\partial}{\partial z} - \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. Then, the energy functional $E_B(f)$ can be reformulated as follows:

$$E_B(f) = E'_B(\delta f) := \int_S |\mathcal{A}(\mu)\delta f + \mathcal{A}(\mu)f^{\nu}|^p \, dS.$$
(11)

Using the above formulation, we propose to iteratively deform an initial map $g^0: S \to D_S$ to an optimal quasi-conformal map $g^*: S \to D_S$, whose Beltrami coefficient is closest to the given μ in the L^p -sense. The initial map can be chosen as the harmonic map with boundary pointwise correspondence given by the arc-length parameterization. Let the Beltrami coefficient of g^0 be ν_0 . Our goal is to deform g^0 to $g^1 = g^0 + \delta g^0$, whose Beltrami coefficient is closer to the target μ in the L^p -sense. According to new formulation (11), it can be achieved by finding a δg^0 that minimizes

$$E'_B(\delta g^0) = \int_S |\mathcal{A}(\mu)\delta g^0 + \mathcal{A}(\mu)g^0|^p dS$$
(12)

subject to the boundary constraints: $g^1|_{\gamma_i}(\gamma_i) = \partial B_{r_i}(c_i)$ and $g^1|_{\gamma_0}(\gamma_0) = \partial \mathbb{D}$.

The boundary constraints can be enforced as follows. In general, points on the boundary γ_i of S are allowed to move along the target boundary $\gamma'_i \subset \partial D_S$ ($\gamma'_i = \partial B_{r_i}(c_i)$ if i = 1, 2, ..., n and $\gamma'_0 = \partial \mathbb{D}$). In other words, we need to restrict the movement to be the tangential direction of the target boundary component. Let $u_k \in \gamma_i$ be a point on the boundary component γ_i of S. We require that the variation δg^0 on u_k satisfies the following:

$$\delta g^0(u_k) = t_k \mathbf{T}_{\gamma'_k}(g^0(u_k)), \tag{13}$$

where $\mathbf{T}_{\gamma'_k}(g^0(u_k))$ is the unit tangent vector of γ'_k at $g^0(u_k)$ and $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$ is a variable measuring the length of δg^0 at u_k (how far it deforms the map g^0).

Note that the target boundary component γ'_k is a circle. Suppose γ'_k is a circle centered at c_k with radius r_k , the equation can be simplified as:

$$\delta g^{0}(u_{k}) = it_{k} \frac{g^{0}(u_{k}) - c_{k}}{r_{k}}.$$
(14)

With this setting, our problem becomes solving the optimization problem (12) subject to the boundary constraint (14). In practice, we choose p = 2. In this case, the problem becomes a least square minimization problem. In the discrete case, it is equivalent to a sparse linear system.

Now, as the boundary point u_k moves along the tangential direction, it may leave the target boundary γ'_k by a short distance. Thus, we project it back to γ'_k by solving:

$$g^{1}(u_{k}) = \operatorname{argmin}_{x \in \gamma'_{k}} \left\| g^{0}(u_{k}) + \delta g^{0}(u_{k}) - x \right\|_{2}^{2}$$
(15)

Since γ'_i is simply a circle, this process is just rescaling the distance between $g^0(u_k) + \delta g^0(u_k)$ and c_k to r_k as follows:

$$g^{1}(u_{k}) = \frac{g^{0}(u_{k}) + \delta g^{0}(u_{k}) - c_{k}}{\|g^{0}(u_{k}) + \delta g^{0}(u_{k}) - c_{k}\|} \cdot r_{k} + c_{k}.$$
(16)

Note that, according to our setting, we only allow the boundary points to move a bit along the target boundary. Although $g^1 := g^0 + \delta g^0$ reduces the energy E'_B , it is unlikely the optimal map that solves the optimization problem (12) and (14).

Therefore, we repeat the procedure. More precisely, suppose g^k is obtained at the k-th iteration. We can obtain a new map $g^{k+1} := g^k + \delta g^k$ that reduces E'_B by minimizing $||\mathcal{A}(\mu)\delta g^k + \mathcal{A}(\mu)g^k||_p^p$ subject to the boundary constraint (14). As a result, we obtain a sequence of maps $\{g^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ with Beltrami coefficients $\{\mu^k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, which converges to the desired optimal map.

In summary, the iterative scheme for computing the optimal quasi-conformal map with fixed conformal module of the target parameter domain can be described as Algorithm 1.

Algorithm 1: Energy minimization for fixed conformal module

Data: triangular	mesh	of the	source	domain	S,	target	domain	D_S	and	target	Beltrami
coefficient	μ .										
\mathbf{D} $\mathbf{u} \ast \mathbf{d}$. D										

Result: $g^*: S_1 \to D_S$. 1 Initialize g^0 to be a harmonic map.

2 Calculate the Beltrami coefficient μ^0 of g^0 .

3 while $\|\mu_n - \mu_{n-1}\| > \epsilon$ do 4 | Find δg^{n-1} that optimizes (12) subject to the constraint (16).

Set $g^n = g^{n-1} + \delta g^{n-1}$. 5

5.2 Adjustment of the conformal module

One of the main challenges for solving the optimization problem (9) is that the conformal module of the target domain is not fixed. In other words, the geometry of the target parameter domain can be adjusted to further minimize E'_B .

To solve this problem, our strategy is to regard the conformal module as another variable, and let it vary together with the map during the optimization process. In other words, we need to incorporate the conformal module into the energy functional of the optimization problem.

Given a multiply-connected domain S, we first obtain an initial guess of the conformal module $(\mathbf{r}^0, \mathbf{c}^0)$. $\mathbf{r}^0 = (r_0, r_1, ..., r_n)^T$ and $\mathbf{c}^0 = (c_0, c_1, ..., c_n)^T$ can be chosen as follows. For each γ_i $(i = c_0, c_1, ..., c_n)^T$ (0, 1, 2, ..., n), we find a circle approximating γ_i . In this way, we obtain a circle domain (a disk with n inner disks removed). The circle domain is then normalized such that the outermost boundary becomes the unit circle. The initial guess \mathbf{r}^0 and \mathbf{c}^0 are then obtained, and the initial guess of the parameter domain D_S^0 is obtained. In other words, $D_S^0 = \mathbb{D} \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n B_{r_i^0}(c_i^0)$.

Our problem can now be regarded as finding a sequence of punctured disks D_S^i and maps g^i , which iteratively minimizes $E_B(g, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{c})$. To do this, we propose to give an extra freedom to the variation δg^i on the boundary component γ_k (k = 1, 2, ..., n). The main idea is to allow \mathbf{r}^i and \mathbf{c}^i to vary. More specifically, the translation of the center from c_k^i to $c_k^i + \Delta c_k^i$ can be considered as all points on γ_k being translated by Δc_k^i . Also, the scaling of the radius from r_k^i to $r_k^i + \Delta r_k^i$ can be regarded as all points on γ_k being moved along the radial direction by Δr_k^i . Therefore, the variation δg^i on the boundary point $u_k \in \gamma_k$ can be formulated as:

$$\delta g^{i}(u_{k}) = t_{k} \mathbf{T}_{\gamma'_{k}}(g^{i}(u_{k})) + \Delta c_{k}^{i} + \frac{u_{k} - c_{k}^{i}}{\|u_{k} - c_{k}^{i}\|} \Delta r_{k}^{i}.$$
(17)

Note that the target boundary of the outermost boundary is always fixed to be the unit circle. Thus, the above formulation does not apply to γ_0 .

We then minimize the energy functional (12) subject to the boundary constraint (14) and (17). This new optimization problem involves new variables $\Delta \mathbf{c}^i$ and $\Delta \mathbf{r}^i$, which are the perturbation of the centers and radii (conformal module) of the inner disks of D_S^i . With this setting, we simultaneously optimize the map g^i and the conformal module $(\mathbf{r}^i, \mathbf{c}^i)$ to optimize E'_B .

Note that the variation δq^i for the interior points is a complex number, which can be represented by two real scalars (one for the real part and one for the imaginary part). Besides, the variation δg^i for the boundary points must be a scalar multiple of the tangential direction of the boundary and can be represented by one real scalar. Denote the representation of δg^i by $\widetilde{\delta g}^i$. We can write $\widetilde{\delta g}^i$ as:

$$\widetilde{\delta g}^{i} = [x_{1}^{i}, y_{1}^{i}, x_{2}^{i}, y_{2}^{i}, ..., x_{m}^{i}, y_{m}^{i}, t_{1}^{i}, ..., t_{p}^{i}],$$
(18)

where $\delta g^i(v_j) = x_j^i + \sqrt{-1}y_j^i$ for an interior vertex v_j and t_s^i is the representation of $\delta g^i(v_s)$ (using equation (17)) for a boundary vertex v_s .

A linear operator K^i transforms the representation $\widetilde{\delta g}^i$ back to δg^i . K^i depends on the variables $\Delta \mathbf{r}^i$ and $\Delta \mathbf{c}^i$. Hence, our problem can be formulated as minimizing the following energy functional over δg :

$$\widetilde{E}_B(\widetilde{\delta g}^i, \Delta \mathbf{r}^i, \Delta \mathbf{c}^i) := E'_B(K^i \widetilde{\delta g}^i) = \int_S \left| \mathcal{A}(\mu) K^i \widetilde{\delta g}^i + \mathcal{A}(\mu) g^i \right|^p dS.$$
(19)

Representing δg^i by its representation $\widetilde{\delta g}^i$ reduces storage requirement. More importantly, the above formulation allows us to incorporate the conformal module into the energy functional of the optimization problem. In practice, we solve (19) by taking p = 2. In other words, we solve (19) by the least square method.

The overall iterative scheme is summarized in Algorithm 2.

Algorithm 2:	Overall algorithm of QCMC	

Data: triangular mesh of source domain S, target Beltrami coefficient μ , tolerance ϵ . **Result**: Quasi-conformal parameterization $g^*: S \to D_S$.

- 1 Initialize D_0 to be punctured disk with the same topology of S.
- **2** Initialize $g^0: \Omega \to D_0$ to be the harmonic map.

3 while $\|\mu_{n+1} - \mu_n\| > \epsilon$ do

- Calculate tangent vector and outward normal vector at boundary. 4
- Construct constraint matrix K^n . $\mathbf{5}$
- Find δg^n , $\Delta \mathbf{c}^n$ and $\Delta \mathbf{r}^n$ by solving (19) with L^p -minimization. 6
- 7
- Let $g^{n+1} = g^n + t \cdot K^n \widetilde{\delta g}^n$ (e.g. take t = 0.5). Update $g^{n+1}(u_k) \leftarrow \frac{g^n(u_k) c_k^n}{\|g^n(u_k) c_k^n\|} \cdot r_k^n + c_k^n$ for all $u_k \in \gamma_k$. 8

6 Numerical implementation

In this section, we will describe briefly how the proposed algorithm introduced in Section 5 can be implemented. The major components of the proposed algorithms are the constructions of \mathcal{A} and K^n in the discrete setting. In the following subsection, we will describe how to discretize the two operators.

In practice, 2D domains or surfaces in \mathbb{R}^3 are usually represented discretely by triangular meshes. Suppose M is the surface mesh representing the surface S. We define the set of vertices on M by $V = \{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Similarly, we define the set of triangular faces on M by $F = \{T_j\}_{j=1}^m$. Our goal is to look for a quasi-conformal parameterization $\mathbf{f} : M \to \mathbb{C}$. We further introduce the following notions.

- U is a $2n \times 1$ vector. It stores the position of $\{\mathbf{f}(v_i)\}_{i=1}^n$, namely,
- $\mathbf{U} = (Re(\mathbf{f}(v_1)), Re(\mathbf{f}(v_2)), ..., Re(\mathbf{f}(v_n)), Im(\mathbf{f}(v_1)), Im(\mathbf{f}(v_2)), ..., Im(\mathbf{f}(v_n)))^T.$
- $-V_n$ is a $2n \times 1$ vector. It stores the vector field acting on **U** at the n^{th} iteration.
- A is a $2m \times 2m$ matrix, which is the matrix representation of the differential operator: $\mathcal{A} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \mu \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$.

6.1 Construction of the matrix representation A of A

In practice, we consider the parameterization $\mathbf{f}: M \to D$ to be piecewise linear, where D is the triangulation mesh of a punctured disk. In other words, we regard the restriction of \mathbf{f} on each trianguar face T_i as an affine transform. Hence,

$$f_i := f|_{T_i} = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z} z + \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}} \overline{z} + \delta_i.$$

Let the vertices of T_i be $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\}$ and vertices of $f_i(T_i)$ be $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\}$. Then, $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}}$ satisfy the following linear system :

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_1 \ \overline{w_1} \ 1\\ w_2 \ \overline{w_2} \ 1\\ w_3 \ \overline{w_3} \ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z_i}\\ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}}\\ \delta_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_1\\ z_2\\ z_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

The above linear system can be reduce to:

$$\begin{pmatrix} w_2 - w_1 \ \overline{w_2 - w_1} \\ w_3 - w_1 \ \overline{w_3 - w_1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}_i} \\ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_2 - z_1 \\ z_3 - z_1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Hence,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z}\\ \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} w_2 - w_1 & \overline{w_2 - w_1}\\ w_3 - w_1 & \overline{w_3 - w_1} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} z_2 - z_1\\ z_3 - z_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By solving this linear system, we get $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z}$ and $\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}}$. With that, $\mathcal{A}f_i$ can be constructed by $\mathcal{A}f_i = \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial \overline{z}} - \mu(T_i)\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial z}$.

Also, $(Re(\mathcal{A}f_1), Re(\mathcal{A}f_2), ..., Re(\mathcal{A}f_m), Im(\mathcal{A}f_1), Im(\mathcal{A}f_2), ..., Im(\mathcal{A}f_m))^T$ can be written as AU, where $A \in M_{2m \times 2m}(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, the matrix representation A of \mathcal{A} can be obtained.

6.2 Construction of the boundary constraint matrix K

Now, we discuss how to construct the boundary constraint matrix K.

Let $I_{int} = \{i_1, i_2, ..., i_r\}$ be the indices of all interior vertices.

Let $I_{bdy} = \{i_1^b, ..., i_s^b\}$ be the indices of all boundary vertices.

Denote the tangent vector of the boundary vertex $v_{i_k^b}$ by $t_k \in \mathbb{C}$.

Note that r+s = n. Under the boundary constraints, the admissible variation δg can be represented by a vector $\delta g \in \mathbb{R}^{n+r}$. In particular, the variation on the interior vertices depends on two scalar variabes (real and imaginary parts of the vector field). Hence, 2r scalars are required to represent the variation on the interior vertices. For the boundary vertices, the variation must be a scalar multiple of the tangential direction and it depends on one scalar variable. Thus, s scalars are required to represent the variation on the boundary vertices. All together, 2r + s = n + r scalars are required to represent the admissible variation.

The boundary constraint matrix K transforms the variation representation δg into the admissible variation δg . The matrix K can be regarded as a $2n \times (n+r)$ sparse matrix, which can be constructed as follow :

For j = 1, 2, ..., r,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{i,j} &= 1\\
K_{n+i,j} &= 1
\end{aligned}$$
(20)

For j = 1, 2, ..., s,

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{i_j^b,r+j} &= Re(t_j) \\
K_{n+i_j^b,r+j} &= Im(t_j)
\end{aligned}$$
(21)

In this way, $\delta g := K \widetilde{\delta g} \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ becomes a vector of size 2*n*. The first *n* entries represent the real part of the variation and the last *n* entries represent the imaginary part of the variation.

7 Experimental results

In this section, we test our proposed algorithm to compute conformal and quasi-conformal parameterizations of synthetic data and real human face surfaces. All our experiments are carried out on a desktop with following specification:

Hardware	Specification
Processor	AMD A8-6600K (3.9GHz ×4)
Memory	8GB DDR3-1600
Operating system	Window 8 Home Pre. 64bit
Experiment perform	Matlab 2013a
GPU assisted computation	No

7.1 Synthetic 2D domains

We first examine our algorithm on multiply-connected 2D domains.

Example 1 In this example, we compute the least square conformal parameterization of a 2D triplyconnected frog mesh (Figure 3(A)) and a 2D bird mesh (Figure 5(A)). The conformal parameterizations are shown in (B). The colormaps are given by the norms of the Beltrami coefficients. The blue color indicates that the Beltrami coefficient is close to 0, meaning that the parameterization is indeed conformal. Using the conformal parameterization, we map the checkerboard texture and circle packing texture onto the frog mesh, as shown in (C) and (D).

Note that the right angle of the checkerboard pattern are well-preserved, meaning that the parameterizations are angle-preserving. The circle pattern of the circle packing texture is also preserved. It means that under the conformal parameterization, infinitesimal circles are mapped to infinitesimal circles as expected.

Figure 4(A) and Figure 6(A) show the histograms of the angle distortion under the parameterizations. They accumulte at 0, meaning that the parameterization is indeed angle-preserving. The energy versus iterations using our proposed algorithm is shown in (B). E_B decreases as iteration increases.



Fig. 3 (A) shows the frog mesh. (B) shows the conformal parameterization. (C) shows the texture mapping of the checkerboard using the obtained conformal parameterization. (D) shows the texture mapping of the circle packing pattern.



Fig. 4 (A) shows the histogram of the angle distortion under the conformal parameterization of the frog mesh. (B) shows the energy versus iterations.

Example 2 We test our algorithm to compute the least square quasi-conformal parameterizations of a 2D triply-connected boy mesh (Figure 7(A)) and a 2D car mesh with three inner holes (Figure 9(A)). The quasi-conformal parameterizations are shown in (B). (C) shows the histogram of the norms of the target Beltrami coefficients and (D) shows the histograms of the norms of the output Beltrami coefficients.

We can see that the Beltrami coefficients of the quasi-conformal parameterizations are closely resemble to our target Beltrami coefficients. Also, Figure 8 and Figure 10 show that the histograms of the errors between the target and output Beltrami coefficients accumulate at 0, indicating that the obtained maps are good approximations to our desired quasi-conformal parameterizations. The energy versus iterations using our proposed algorithm is shown in (B).

7.2 Multiply-connected Riemann surfaces

We next examine the algorithm on multiply-connected Riemann surfaces.

 $Example\ 3\;$ In this example, we test the algorithm to compute the conformal parameterizations of two multiply-connected human faces onto punctured disks . The human faces are shown in Figure 11, and



Fig. 5 (A) shows the bird mesh. (B) shows the conformal parameterization. (C) shows the texture mapping of the checkerboard using the obtained conformal parameterization. (D) shows the texture mapping of the circle packing pattern.



Fig. 6 (A) shows the histogram of the angle distortion under the conformal parameterization of the bird mesh. (B) shows the energy versus iterations.

the surfaces are conformally embedded into \mathbb{R}^2 with free boundary condition. The embedded domains are then conformally parameterized onto the puntured disk.

The conformal parameterizations of the human faces are shown in Figure 12(A) and Figure 13(A). The texture maps (checkerboard and circle packing) using the conformal parameterizations are shown in (B). The right angle structure is well-preserved and the parameterizations map infinitesimal circles to circles. (C) shows the histogram of angle distortion, which shows that the parameterization is angle-preserving. (D) shows the energy versus iterations.

Example 4 Now, we compute the quasi-conformal parameterizations of these two faces. The norms of the target Beltrami differentials are shown in Figure 14(A) and Figure 15(A). The quasi-conformal parameterizations are shown in (B). The colormaps show the norms of the Beltrami differentials of the parameterizations. (C) shows the histograms of the errors between the target and output Beltrami differentials. They accumulate at 0, meaning that the computed quasi-conformal parameterizations are accurate. (D) shows the energy versus iterations.



Fig. 7 (A) shows the boy mesh. (B) shows the quasi-conformal parameterization. (C) shows the histogram of the target Beltrami coefficient. (D) shows the histogram of the norm of the output Beltrami coefficient.



Fig. 8 (A) the histogram of the error between the target and output Beltrami coefficients for the boy mesh example. (B) shows the energy versus iterations.

Mesh	Faces	Vertice	Time spent	$Mean(\mu)$	$\operatorname{Std}(\mu)$	# of flips
Frog	10603	5918	$6.05 \mathrm{~s}$	0.0124	0.0148	0
Boy	15164	8699	$5.90 \mathrm{~s}$	0.0196	0.0214	0
Cat	7857	4411	$5.06 \mathrm{\ s}$	0.0247	0.0297	0
Bird	10965	6210	$7.57~\mathrm{s}$	0.0258	0.0378	0
Car	10267	5805	$8.57~\mathrm{s}$	0.0264	0.0282	0

 Table 1 Computational details of the proposed algorithm

7.3 Computational time, stability and comparisons

Table 1 reports the computational details of our proposed algorithm. For meshes with about 10k faces, our proposed algorithm can generally obtain the parameterization onto the punctured disk with in 10 seconds. All the parameterization results have no overlapping faces, which means the obtained parameterizations are indeed bijective.

We also study the stability of our proposed algorithm under different initializations. It is observed that our algorithm converges to the same solution with different initializations, even for a non-bijective initial map. Figure 16(A), (B) and (C) shows three different initializations for the conformal parameterization of the frog mesh (as in Figure 3(A)). Note that the initializations are all different, whose parameter domains have different conformal modules. Besides, two initial maps, namely (B) and (C), are non-bijective. With these three different initializations, our algorithm converges to the same pa-



Fig. 9 (A) shows the car mesh. (B) shows the quasi-conformal parameterization. (C) shows the histogram of the target Beltrami coefficient. (D) shows the histogram of the norm of the output Beltrami coefficient.



Fig. 10 (A) shows the histogram of the error between the target and output Beltrami coefficients for the car mesh example.(B) shows the energy versus iterations.



Fig. 11 (A) shows a triply-connected human face and its conformal embedding with free boundary condition in \mathbb{R}^2 . (B) shows the human face with three interior regions removed and its conformal embedding with free boundary condition in \mathbb{R}^2 .

rameterization (up to a rotation), which are shown in (D), (E) and (F) respectively. Also, the optimal parameterization becomes bijective, even though the initial map is non-bijective.

Finally, we compare our proposed algorithm with the Ricci flow (RF) method [23] and the inverse curvature Ricci flow (IDRF) method [24]. The results are reported in Table 2. As shown in the table, our method is stable under different regularities of the triangulations. On the contrary, both RF and IDRF fail on some meshes without remeshing. After improving the regularity of the triangulation through a remeshing process, RF and IDRF can be used to parameterize some of the meshes onto the punctured disks. To compare the quality of the conformal parameterization, we compute the mean



Fig. 12 (A) shows the conformal parameterization of human face 1. The texture map (checkerboard and circle packing) using the conformal parameterization is shown in (B). (C) shows the histogram of angle distortion. (D) shows the energy versus iterations.



Fig. 13 (A) shows the conformal parameterization of human face 2. The texture map (checkerboard and circle packing) using the conformal parameterization is shown in (B). (C) shows the histogram of angle distortion. (D) shows the energy versus iterations.

and standard derivation of the angle distortion. From the table, it can be observed that our proposed algorithm outperforms RF and IDRF.

8 Applications

In this section, we show some applications of the conformal and quasi-conformal parameterizations.



Fig. 14 Quasi-conformal parameterization of the human face 1. (A) shows the norm of the target Beltrami differential. The quasi-conformal parameterization is shown in (B). The colormap shows the norm of the Beltrami differential of the parameterization. (C) shows the histogram of the error between the target and output Beltrami differentials. (D) shows the energy versus iterations.



Fig. 15 Quasi-conformal parameterization of the human face 2. (A) shows the norm of the target Beltrami differential. The quasi-conformal parameterization is shown in (B). The colormap shows the norm of the Beltrami differential of the parameterization. (C) shows the histogram of the error between the target and output Beltrami differentials. (D) shows the energy versus iterations.

8.1 Surface remeshing

Surface remeshing refers to the process of improving the quality of the triangulation. This procedure is necessary in numerical computations to improve the accuracies of numerical solutions. A common technique to perform remeshing is done by parameterizing the surface onto a simple parameter domain (usually in \mathbb{R}^2). A regular mesh can be built on the simple parameter domain and the remeshing can be



Fig. 16 Conformal parameterizations of the frog mesh using our proposed method under different initializations. (A), (B) and (C) show three different initializations. (D), (E) and (F) show the obtained conformal parameterizations with initializations (A), (B) and (C) respectively.

Mesh	Ordinary RF [23]	IDRF $[24]$	QCMC
	$\operatorname{mean}(\mu)/\operatorname{std}(\mu)$	$\operatorname{mean}(\mu)/\operatorname{std}(\mu)$	$\operatorname{mean}(\mu)/\operatorname{std}(\mu)$
Frog	0.1907/0.1548	0.0164/0.0196	0.0129/0.0164
Boy	0.1647/0.1263	0.0212/0.0288	0.0203/0.0241
Cat	0.1852/0.1545	0.0252/0.0284	0.0233/0.0255
Bird	fail	fail	0.0245/0.0401
Car	0.1881/0.1488	0.0277/0.0311	0.0253/0.0270
2hole_face	0.2937/0.2625	fail	0.0114/0.0351
2hole_face (remeshed)	0.2402/0.2412	0.0097/0.0175	0.0051/0.0058
3hole_face	0.3079/0.2591	fail	0.0195/0.0516
3hole_face (remeshed)	0.2281/0.2205	0.0137/0.0220	0.0057/0.0070

Table 2 Comparison of different algorithms.

done through interpolation. Figure 17(A) shows the original surface mesh. We conformally parameterize the surface onto a punctured disk and a regular mesh is built on the parameter domin. Remeshing is then done by interpolation. The remeshed surface is shown in (B). Figure 18(left) shows the zoom in of the triangulation mesh of the original surface. The right shows the zoom in of the triangulation mesh of the remeshed surface. The right shows the zoom in of the triangulation mesh of the remeshed surface.

8.2 Surface registration

Quasi-conformal surface maps have been used for surface registration. Computing quasi-conformal surface maps between multiply-connected surfaces is challenging, due to the complicated topologies of the surfaces. By parameterizing the surfaces onto the punctured disk, the computation can be simplified. Figure 19(A) and (B) show two metal sheets, which are doubly-connected. Given a Beltrami differential on the metal sheet 1, our goal is to compute the quasi-conformal surface map between the metal sheets. To do this, we first quasi-conformally parameterize the metal sheet 1 with the prescribed Beltrami differential onto the annulus. The parameterization is as shown in (C) and the colormap is given by the norm of the Beltrami differential. Then, we parameterize the metal sheet 2 conformally



Fig. 17 (A) shows the original surface mesh. (B) shows the remeshed surface.



Fig. 18 The left shows the zoom in of the triangulation mesh of the original surface in Figure 17(A). The right shows the zoom in of the triangulation mesh of the remeshed surface.



(C) Quasi-conformal parameterization (D) Conformal parameterization

Fig. 19 (A) shows the metal sheet 1. (B) shows the metal sheet 2. (C) shows the quasi-conformal parameterization of the metal sheet 1. (D) shows the conformal parameterization of the metal sheet 2. The surface quasi-conformal map between the metal sheets can be obtained by the composition map.

onto an annulus, as shown in (D). In this way, the parameter domains of metal sheet 1 and metal sheet 2 are conformally equivalent. Hence, their conformal modules are the same up to a Möbius transformation. As shown in the figure, the inner radii of the two annulus are both approximately 0.6401. By the composition of the parameterizations, the quasi-conformal surface map between the two metal sheets can be obtained. Figure 20 shows the visualization of the surface quasi-conformal map between the metal sheets using texture mapping. The circle packing pattern on the original metal sheet is mapped onto the target metal sheet. Infinitesimal circles are mapped to infinitesimal ellipses.



Fig. 20 Visualization of the surface quasi-conformal map between the metal sheets. The circle packing pattern on the original metal sheet is mapped onto the target metal sheet. Infinitesimal circles are mapped to infinitesimal ellipses.

Furthermore, by parameterizing the multiply-connected surfaces onto the punctured disk, surface registration can be computed easily on the simple parameter domains. Figure 21(A) and (B) show two different human faces S_1 and S_2 . We look for a geometric matching surface registration between them that matches curvatures. More specifically, we look for a diffeomorphism $f: S_1 \to S_2$ that minimizes:

$$E_{geometric}(f) = \int_{S_1} |\mu(f)|^4 dS + \int_{S_1} (H_1 - H_2(f))^2 dS$$
(22)

where H_1 and H_2 are the mean curvatures on S_1 and S_2 respectively. The first term minimizes the conformality (local geometric) distortion of the map f. The second term minimizes the curvature mismatching under the registration.

We first conformally parameterize the two surfaces onto their canonical parameter domains, which are shown in (D) and (E). The colormaps on the two parameter domains are given by the mean curvatures of the two human faces. We then perform intensity-matching registration to find a mapping between the parameter domains that matches the curvature intensities. Using the obtained mapping, we transform source parameter domain to the target conformal parameter domain. The transformed domain is shown in (F). Finally, the surface registration can be obtained from the composition map. In (C), we map the curvature on the source surface to the target surface using the obtained surface registration. Note that the corresponding regions (high curvature regions) are consistently matched. It indicates that the obtained registration is geometric matching. Figure 22 shows the energy plots versus iterations during the process of registration between the parameter domains. (A) shows the curvature mismatching energy $E_{curvature}$ versus iterations. It decreases as iteration increases until the optimal state is reached. (B) shows the total energy $E_{geometric}$ versus iterations.

8.3 Shape signatures of multiply-connected objects

Conformal parameterization of the 2D multiply-connected domain can be used to compute the shape signature representing the 2D shape. With the conformal parameterizations, conformal weldings can be computed, which can be used to define the shape signature [20]. Figure 23(A) shows the frog mesh. It consists of three sub-domains, namely, Ω_0 , Ω_1 and Ω_2 , together with the infinite domain $\Omega_{outside}$ outside the outermost boundary. Their conformal parameterizations, $\phi_0 : \Omega_0 \to D_0$, $\phi_1 : \Omega_1 \to D_1$, $\phi_2 : \Omega_2 \to D_2$ and $\phi_{outside} : \Omega_{outside} \to \mathbb{D}$, are computed. The conformal weldings can be obtained from the conformal parameterizations. More precisely, the conformal weldings can be computed as follows: $f_{01} := \phi_1 \circ \phi_0^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$, $f_{02} := \phi_2 \circ \phi_0^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$ and $f_{outside} := \phi_{outside} \circ \phi_0^{-1} : \mathbb{S}^1 \to \mathbb{S}^1$. The conformal weldings together with the conformal module of D_0 form the shape signature of the frog mesh, as shown in (B).

Figure 24(A) shows the bird mesh and the conformal parameterizations of different sub-domains of the bird mesh. Using the conformal parameterizations, conformal weldings can be defined. The conformal weldings together with the conformal module of D_0 define the shape signature of the frog mesh, which are shown in (B).

9 Conclusion

We address the problem of finding the quasi-conformal parameterization (QCMC) for a multiplyconnected 2D domain or surface embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 . Our goal is to map the multiply-connected domain one-to-one and onto a simple parameter domain. According to the quasi-conformal Teichmüller theory,



Fig. 21 (A) and (B) show the human face 1 and human face 2, whose colormaps are given by their mean curvature. (C) shows the surface registration between the human faces. (D) shows the conformal parameterization of face 1. (E) shows the conformal parameterization of face 2. (E) shows the registration between the conformal parameter domians.



Fig. 22 Energy plots for the surface registration example. (A) shows the curvature mismatching versus iterations. It decreases as iteration increases until the optimal state is reached. (B) shows the total energy $E_{geometric}$ versus iterations.

given a prescribed Beltrami differential measuring the conformality distortion, a multiply-connected domain can be quasi-conformally parameterized onto a punctured disk. The center and radii of the inner circles, which is called the confomal module, can be uniquely determined up to a Möbius transformation. In this paper, we propose an iterative algorithm to simultaeneously look for the conformal module and the optimal quasi-conformal map. The key idea is to minimize the Beltrami energy with the conformal module incorporated. By incorporating the conformal module into the energy functional of the optimization problem, the quasi-conformal map and the conformal module can be simultaneously optimized. The optimal solution is our desired quasi-conformal parameterization onto a punctured disk. The parameterization of the multiply-connected domain simplifies numerical computations and has important applications in various fields, such as in computer graphics and visions. Experiments have been carried out on synthetic data together with real multiply-connected Riemann surfaces. Results show that our proposed method can efficiently compute quasi-conformal parameterizations of multiply-connected domains and outperforms other state-of-the-art algorithms. Applications of the parameterization technique have also been shown.



(B) Shape signature (conformal welding)

Fig. 23 (A) shows the conformal parameterizations of different sub-domains of the frog mesh. Using the conformal parameterizations, conformal weldings can be defined. The conformal weldings together with the conformal module define the shape signature of the frog mesh, which are shown in (B).



(B) Shape signature (conformal welding)

Fig. 24 (A) shows the conformal parameterizations of different sub-domains of the bird mesh. Using the conformal parameterizations, conformal weldings can be defined. The conformal weldings together with the conformal module define the shape signature of the bird mesh, which are shown in (B).

References

- 1. Ayelet Dominitz and Allen Tannenbaum, Texture Mapping via Optimal Mass Transport. *IEEE Transaction on Visualization and Computer Graphics*, 16(3), 419-433, 2010.
- W. Tutte, Least Squares Conformal Maps for Automatic Texture Atlas Generation. ACM Transaction on Graphics, 21(3), 362–371, 2002.
- M. Eck, T. DeRose, T. Duchamp, H. Hoppe, M. Lounsbery, and W. Stuetzle, Multiresolution analysis of arbitrary meshes. SIGGRAPH '95 Proceedings of the 22nd annual conference on Computer graphics and interactive techniques, 173-182, 1995.
- Lévy, Bruno and Petitjean, Sylvain and Ray, Nicolas and Maillot, Jérome, Convex representation of graphs. In Proceeding of London Mathematical Society, Vol. 10, 1960.

- 5. M. Floater, Parametrization and smooth approximation of surface triangulations. Computer Aided Geometric Design, 14(3):231250, April 1997., 14(3), 231-250, 1997.
- 6. S. Haker, S. Angenent, A. Tannenbaum, R. Kikinis, G. Sapiro, and M. Halle. Conformal surface parameterization for texture mapping, IEEE Transaction of Visualization and Computer Graphics, 6, 181-189, 2000.
- 7. F. Gardiner and N. Lakic. Quasiconformal Teichmuller Theory. American Mathematics Society, 2000.
- 8. B. Fischl, M. Sereno, R. Tootell, and A. Dale. High-resolution intersubject averaging and a coordinate system for the cortical surface. Human Brain Mapping, 8, 272-284, 1999.
- X. Gu, Y. Wang, T. F. Chan, P. M. Thompson, and S.-T. Yau. Genus zero surface conformal mapping and its application to brain, surface mapping. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 23(8), 949-958, 2004.
- 10. Y. Wang, L. M. Lui, X. Gu, K. M. Hayashi, T. F. Chan, A. W. Toga, P. M. Thompson, and S.-T. Yau. Brain surface conformal parameterization using riemann surface structure. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging, 26(6), 853-865, 2007.
- X. Gu and S. Yau. Computing conformal structures of surfaces, Communication in Information System, 2(2), 11. 121-146, 2002.
- M. K. Hurdal and K. Stephenson. Discrete conformal methods for cortical brain flattening, Neuroimage, 45, 12. 86-98, 2009.
- 13. J.B. Tenenbaum, V. Silva, J.C. Langford. A Global Geometric Framework for Nonlinear Dimensionality Reduction, Science, 290(5500), 2319-2323, 2000
- 14. L.M. Lui, T.W. Wong, X.F. Gu, T.F. Chan and S.T. Yau. Compression of Surface Diffeomorphism using Beltrami coefficient, IEEE Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition(CVPR), 2839-2846, 2010
- 15.L.M. Lui, T.W. Wong, W. Zeng, X.F. Gu, P.M. Thompson, T.F. Chan and S.T. Yau. Optimization of Surface Registrations Using Beltrami Holomorphic Flow, Journal of Scientific Computing, 50(3), 557-585, 2012
- 16. L.M. Lui, T.W. Wong, X.F. Gu, P.M. Thompson, T.F. Chan and S.T. Yau. Hippocampal Shape Registration using Beltrami Holomorphic flow, Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervention(MICCAI), Part II, LNCS 6362, 323-330 (2010)
- 17. T.C. Ng, X.F. Gu, L.M. Lui. Computing Extremal Teichmller Map of Multiply-Connected Domains Via Beltrami Holomorphic Flow, Journal on Scientific Computing, DOI 10.1007/s10915-013-9791-z, 2013
- W. Zeng, L.M. Lui, F. Luo, T.F. Chan, S.T. Yau, X.F. Gu Computing quasiconformal maps using an auxiliary 18. metric and discrete curvature flow. Numerische Mathematik, 121(4), 671-703, 2012
- L.M. Lui, K.C. Lam, T.W. Wong, X.F. Gu Texture map and video compression using Beltrami representation. 19. SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 6(4), 1880-1902, 2013
- 20.L.M. Lui, W. Zeng, S.T. Yau, X.F. Gu Shape analysis of planar multiply-connected objects using conformal welding. IEEE Transaction on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, DOI 10.1109/TPAMI.2013.215, (2013)
- 21. P. Mullen, Y. Tong, P. Alliez and M. Desbrun Spectral Conformal Parameterization. Computer Graphics Forum, Volume 27, Issue 5, 14871494, July 2008
- 22. W. Zeng and X. Gu. Registration for 3D Surfaces with Large Deformations Using Quasi-Conformal Curvature Flow. IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR11), Jun 20-25, 2011, Colorado Springs, Colorado, USA.
- 23. M. Jin, J. Kim, F. Luo and X. Gu. Discrete surface Ricci flow. IEEE Transaction on Visualization and Computer Graphics, 14(5), 1030-1043, 2008
- 24.Y.L. Yang R. Guo F. Luo S.M. Hu, X.F. Gu Generalized Discrete Ricci Flow. Computer Graphics Forum, 28(7), 20052014, 2009
- W. Zeng, L.M. Lui, L. Shi, D. Wang, W.C. Chu, J.C. Cheng, J. Hua, S.T. Yau, X.F. Gu. Shape Analysis of 25.Vestibular Systems in Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis Using Geodesic Spectra. Medical Image Computing and Computer Assisted Intervation 13(3), 538-546, 2010.
- 26. L.M. Lui, K.C. Lam, S.T. Yau, X.F. Gu Teichmüller Mapping (T-Map) and Its Applications to Landmark Matching Registration. SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 7(1), 391-326, 2014
- 27. R.M. Porter An Interpolating Polynomial Method for Numerical Conformal Mapping. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 23(3), 10271041, 2001 28. N. Hale and T.W. Tee Conformal Maps to Multiply-Slit Domains and Applications. SIAM Journal on Scientific
- Computing, 31(4), 3195-3215, 2009
- 29.T.K. DeLillo and E.H. Kropf Numerical Computation of the SchwarzChristoffel Transformation for Multiply Connected Domains. SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, 33(3), 3195-3215, 2011
- 30. W. Zeng, L.M. Lui, X.F. Gu, S.T. Yau Shape Analysis by Conformal Modules. Methods and Applications of Analysis., 15(4), 539-556, 2008
- 31. L.M. Lui, X. Gu, T.F. Chan and S.-T. Yau Variational Method on Riemann Surfaces using Conformal Parameterization and its Applications to Image Processin. Journal of Methods and Applications of Analysis, 15(4), 513-538 (2008) can
- 32. Y. Wang, J. Shi, X. Yin, X.F. Gu, T.F. Chan, S.T. Yau, A.W. Toga, P.M. Thompson Brain Surface Conformal Parameterization with the Ricci flow. IEEE Transaction on Medical Imaging, 31(2), 251-264, 2012.
- 33. C.W. Mastin and J.F. Thompson Quasiconformal mappings and grid generation. SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, 5(2), 305310, 1984
- 34. P. Daripa On a numerical method for quasiconformal grid generation. Journal of Computational Physics, 96, 229-236, 1991
- 35. P. Daripa A fast algorithm to solve nonhomogeneous Cauchy-Riemann equations in the complex plane. SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, 13(6), 14181432, 1992
- 36. N. Aigerman, Y. Lipman Injective and Bounded Distortion Mappings in 3D. SIGGRAPH 2013.
- Y. Lipman Bounded Distortion Mapping Spaces for Triangular Meshes. ACM SIGGRAPH 2012, Volume 31 37.Issue 4, July 2012.
- 38. Weber, Myles, Zorin Computing Extremal Quasiconformal Maps. Computer Graphics Forum, 2012.
- 39. T. Wong AND H. Zhao Computing Surface Uniformizations Using Discrete Beltrami Flow. UCLA CAM report 13-55, October 2013.

^{40.} I. Krichever, M. Mineev-Weinstein, P. Wiegmannd, A. Zabrodin Laplacian growth and Whitham equations of soliton theory. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena, Volume 198, Issues 12, 1 November 2004, Pages 128

^{41.} I. Krichever, A. Marshakov, A Zabrodin Integrable Structure of the Dirichlet Boundary Problem in Multiply-Connected Domains. Communications in Mathematical Physics, October 2005, Volume 259, Issue 1, pp 1-44

^{42.} D. Crowdy SchwarzChristoffel mappings to unbounded multiply connected polygonal regions. Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 142, 319-339, 2007.