

**UCLA**  
**COMPUTATIONAL AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS**

---

**The Nonconvex Multi-Dimensional Riemann Problem  
for Hamilton-Jacobi Equations**

**Stanley Osher**

**January 1989**

**CAM Report 89-01**

---

**Department of Mathematics  
University of California, Los Angeles  
Los Angeles, CA. 90024-1555**

**The Nonconvex Multi-Dimensional Riemann Problem  
for Hamilton-Jacobi Equations**

by

Stanley Osher<sup>1</sup>  
Mathematics Department  
University of California  
Los Angeles, CA 90024

**Abstract**

We present simple inequalities for the Riemann problem for a Hamilton-Jacobi equation in  $N$  space dimension when neither the initial data nor the Hamiltonian need be convex (or concave). The initial data is globally continuous, affine in each orthant, with a possible jump in normal derivative across each coordinate plane,  $x_i = 0$ . The inequalities become equalities wherever a “maxmin” equals a “minmax” and thus an exact closed form solution to this problem is then obtained.

---

<sup>1</sup>Research supported by NSF Grant No. DMS88-11863, DARPA Grant in the ACMP Program, ONR Grant N00014-86-K-0691, and NASA Langley Grant NAG1-270.

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification: 35L99, 35L65, 65M15, 65M10.

Key words and phrases: Hamilton-Jacobi equations, Riemann problem, Godunov's scheme.

We shall be concerned with solutions to the following differential equation

$$(H-J) \quad \varphi_t + H(D_x \varphi) = 0 \quad \text{in } R^N \times (0, \infty)$$

where  $H \in C(R^N)$  and  $D_x \varphi = (\varphi_{x_1}, \dots, \varphi_{x_n})$  is the spatial gradient of  $\varphi$ .

We shall take special (Riemann) initial data. Let  $u_i^+, u_i^-$  be constants and define

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} u_i(x) &= u_i^+ & \text{if } x_i > 0 \\ u_i(x) &= u_i^- & \text{if } x_i < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . Then take:

$$(2) \quad \varphi_0(x) = A + \sum_{i=1}^N x_i u_i(x) = A + x \cdot u(x)$$

We wish to solve H-J with initial data (2) in the class of viscosity solutions as defined in [2]. The four properties of viscosity solutions that we shall need here (apart from existence, uniqueness derived in [2]) are:

(P1) The solution  $\varphi(x, t)$  is a non-decreasing function of the initial data.

(P2) The partial derivatives  $\varphi_{x_i}$  satisfy a maximum principle at points of continuity, i.e. for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\min(u_i^-, u_i^+) \leq \varphi_{x_i} \leq \max(u_i^-, u_i^+).$$

(P3) The speed of propagation is finite.

(P4) If  $\psi(x_2, \dots, x_N, t)$  is a viscosity solution of

$$\psi_t + H(v_1, \psi_{x_2}, \dots, \psi_{x_N}) = 0$$

for a constant  $v_1$  then

$$\varphi(x, t) = v_1 x_1 + \psi(x_2, \dots, x_N, t)$$

is a viscosity solution to (H-J).

Let

$$\Omega = \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2 \times \dots \times \Omega_N$$

where for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\Omega_i = \{v / \min(u_i^-, u_i^+) \leq v \leq \max(u_i^-, u_i^+)\}$$

Finally we let, for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ :

$$\chi_i = \text{sign}(u_i^+ - u_i^-)$$

For convenience only, we order the indices so that

$$\chi_i = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, j$$

$$\chi_i = -1, \quad i = j + 1, \dots, N$$

( $j$  might be 0 or  $N + 1$ ).

We now state:

**THEOREM 1.** *The viscosity solution to (H-J) with initial data (2) satisfies:*

$$(3) \quad A + \max_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \max_{v_2 \in \Omega_2} \dots \max_{v_j \in \Omega_j} \min_{v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}} \dots \min_{v_N \in \Omega_N} [x \cdot v - tH(v)] \leq \varphi(x, t) \leq$$

$$A + \min_{v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}} \dots \min_{v_N \in \Omega_N} \max_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \dots \max_{v_j \in \Omega_j} [x \cdot v - tH(v)]$$

We note that if all the  $\chi_i = 1$ , then this solution is just  $\max_{v \in \Omega} [x \cdot v - tH(v)]$ , if all the  $\chi_i = -1$  it is  $\min_{v \in \Omega} [x \cdot v - tH(v)]$ . Otherwise we have a pointwise inequality which

gives the exact solution whenever the first and last terms in (3) are equal. This occurs e.g. if  $H(v) = H_1(v_1, \dots, v_j) + H_2(v_{j+1}, \dots, v_N)$ , i.e., if the Hamiltonian separates, and in many other cases.

The rest of this paper consists of the proof of this theorem, and some remarks about both conservation laws and numerical approximations to H-J.

It is easy to see that the solution to the Cauchy problem satisfies

$$(4) \quad \varphi(x, t) = tg\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) + A = tg(\zeta) + A$$

where  $g$  satisfies:

$$(5) \quad g = \zeta \cdot D_\zeta g - H(D_\zeta g) = -H^1(g_1(\zeta), g_2(\zeta), \dots, g_N(\zeta))$$

where  $D_\zeta g$  is continuous.

In H-J, we let  $\tau = t, y_i = x_i - \zeta_i t$  for  $\zeta$  fixed. H-J becomes

$$(H-J^1) \quad \begin{aligned} & \varphi_\tau + H(D_y \varphi) - \zeta D_y \varphi \\ & = \varphi_\tau + H^1(D_y \varphi) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with the same initial data (2).

Thus, by (5), to evaluate  $g(\zeta)$  we need only evaluate  $-H^1(D_y g)$  at  $y = 0$ , for any  $t > 0$ . From (P1) above we know that  $(D_y g)_{y=0}$  lies in  $\Omega$  for  $t > 0$ . Moreover, if we integrate (H-J)<sup>1</sup> from  $t = 0$  to  $t = \Delta t$  we have

$$(6) \quad \begin{aligned} \varphi(0, \Delta t) &= A - \Delta t H^1((D_y g)_{y=0}) \\ &= \varphi_0(0) - \Delta t \tilde{H}^1(D_+^{x_1} \varphi_0(0), D_-^{x_1} \varphi_0(0); D_+^{x_2} \varphi_0(0), D_-^{x_2} \varphi_0(0); \dots \\ &\quad \dots; D_+^{x_N} \varphi_0(0), D_-^{x_N} \varphi_0(0)) \end{aligned}$$

Here:

$$(7) \quad D_\pm^{x_i} \varphi_0(0) = \pm \frac{(\varphi_0(\pm h e_i) - \varphi_0(0))}{h} = u_i^\pm$$

where  $e_i = \{0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, \dots\}$ , the  $i^{\text{th}}$  unit vector, and  $\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_N^+, u_N^-)$  is determined by (6).

This formula can be interpreted as a numerical algorithm. Suppose we are given a grid

$$x_{j_i}^i = j_i h, i = 1, \dots, N; j_i = 0, \pm 1, \dots$$

and values of a discrete function  $\psi_j = \psi_{j_1 j_2 \dots j_N}$ . Then for each  $j$ , we construct the piecewise affine function which, in each of the  $2^N$  orthants centered at  $j$ , interpolates  $\psi_j$  and its  $N$  nearest neighbors,  $\psi_{j \pm e_i}$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . From (P3) above, if

$$(8) \quad (\text{CFL}) \quad \frac{\Delta t}{h} \max_{\substack{u \in \Omega^{(j)} \\ i=1, \dots, N}} |H_{u_i}^1| \leq \frac{1}{N^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

where  $\Omega^{(j)}$  is the same as  $\Omega$  with each  $u_i^-, u_i^+$  replaced by  $D_-^{x_i} \psi_j, D_+^{x_i} \psi_j$ , then the solution to the initial value problem (H-J)<sup>1</sup> with the above affine initial data in the diamond centered at  $j$  when evaluated at  $x = x_j$  and  $t = \Delta t$  is independent of the values of the initial data outside of this diamond.

Thus (6) (with  $\varphi_0(0)$  replaced by  $\psi_j^n$  and  $\varphi(0, \Delta t)$  by  $\psi_j^{n+1}$ ), gives us a monotone finite difference scheme approximating (H-J)<sup>1</sup> which is in differenced form with numerical Hamiltonian  $\tilde{H}^1$ . These concepts were introduced in [3]. The scheme is monotone, which means that the right side of (6) is an increasing function of all the  $\varphi_{j \pm e_i}$ , because of property (P1). The function  $\tilde{H}^1$  is called Godunov's Hamiltonian by analogy with the definition of Godunov's scheme for conservation laws in one space dimension [5]. The scheme is consistent, which means

$$\tilde{H}^1(u_1, u_1; u_2, u_2; \dots; u_N, u_N) = H^1(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_N)$$

Monotonicity implies that

$$\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_N^+, u_N^-)$$

is a nonincreasing function of all the  $u_i^+$  and a non-decreasing function of all the  $u_i^-$ . In particular, for  $N = 1$ , this means for any  $v_1 \in \Omega = \Omega_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(9) \quad & \text{sgn}(u_1^+ - u_1^-)[\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) - H^1(v_1)] \\
& = \text{sgn}(u_1^+ - v_1)[\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) - \tilde{H}^1(v_1, u_1^-)] \\
& \quad + \text{sgn}(v_1 - u_1^-)[\tilde{H}^1(v_1, u_1^-) - \tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1)] \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

But, by (P2),  $\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) = H^1(\tilde{u}_1)$  for some  $\tilde{u}_1$  in  $\Omega$ . Thus we have

$$(10) \quad \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-) = \chi_1 \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \chi_1 H^1(v_1)$$

(This formula was obtained earlier in [6]). Now we proceed inductively. Suppose, for  $N \leq M - 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(11) \quad & \max_{v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}} \cdots \max_{v_N \in \Omega_N} \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \cdots \min_{v_j \in \Omega_j} H^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N) \\
& \leq \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_N^+, u_N^-) \\
& \leq \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \cdots \min_{v_j \in \Omega_j} \max_{v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}} \cdots \max_{v_N \in \Omega_N} H^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_i &= 1, & i &= 1, \dots, j \\
\chi_i &= -1, & i &= j+1, \dots, N
\end{aligned}$$

Next we have,  $N = M$  and for any  $v_1 \in \Omega_1$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(12) \quad & \chi_1[\tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\
& \quad - \tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-)] \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}$$

using the same argument as in (9).

Now, for any fixed  $v_1$ ,  $\tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1; u_2^+ u_2^-, \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-)$  is Godunov's Hamiltonian when the initial data for (H-J)<sup>1</sup> has a constant  $x_1$  derivative,

$$\frac{\partial \varphi_0}{\partial x_1}(x) \equiv v_1$$

Then it follows from (P4) that

$$g\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) = \frac{x_1}{t} v_1 + \tilde{g}\left(\frac{x_2}{t}, \frac{x_3}{t}, \dots, \frac{x_M}{t}\right)$$

(where  $\tilde{g}$  also depends on  $v_1$ ).

By the induction hypothesis, this means we have

$$\begin{aligned} (13) \quad & \chi_1 \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\ & \leq \chi_1 \tilde{H}^1(v_1, v_1; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\ & = -\chi_1 \tilde{g}(0, 0, \dots, 0) \\ & = \chi_1 \chi_2 \min_{v_2 \in \Omega_2} \chi_2 \cdots \chi_M \min_{v_M \in \Omega_M} \chi_M H^1(v_1, v_2 \dots v_M) \\ & = \chi_1 H^1(v_1, \tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_M) \end{aligned}$$

where the extrema is taken on at  $\tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_M$ , which depends on  $v_1$ . The vector  $(v_1, \tilde{v}_2, \dots, \tilde{v}_M) \in \Omega_1$  where  $v_1 \in \Omega_1$  is arbitrary. We next take  $\min_{v_1}$  of the expression in (13); If all the  $\chi_i \equiv 1$  or all the  $\chi_i \equiv -1$  we have equality by (P2). Otherwise  $\chi_i \equiv 1, 1 \leq i \leq j, \chi_i \equiv -1, j+1 \leq i \leq M$  and we have the right hand inequality in (11). Next we have, for any  $v_{j+1} \in \Omega_{j+1}$ , following the argument above:

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad & \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; u_2^+, u_2^-; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\ & \geq \tilde{H}^1(u_1^+, u_1^-; \dots; v_{j+1}, v_{j+1}; \dots; u_M^+, u_M^-) \\ & \geq \chi_{j+2} \min_{v_{j+2} \in \Omega_{j+2}} \chi_{j+2} \cdots \chi_M \min_{v_M \in \Omega_M} \chi_M \chi_1 \min_{v_1 \in \Omega_1} \chi_1 \cdots \chi_j \min_{v_j \in \Omega_j} \chi_j H^1(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_M) \end{aligned}$$

We next take the  $\max_{v_{j+1}}$  of the expression in (P4) which gives us the left hand inequality in (11).



We have now obtained formula (11) for any  $N$ ; using (4) and (5) give us Theorem 1.

We note that (11) validates the conjecture about Godunov's Hamiltonian in [7] when the inequalities in (13) and (14) become equalities. That paper also discusses the high-order accurate non-oscillatory numerical solution of (H-J) in some detail.

If we take the space gradient of (H-J) and call  $u_1 = \varphi_{x_1}, u_2 = \varphi_{x_2}$ , etc., we arrive at the system of conservation laws

$$(14) \quad (u_i)_t + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} H(u_1, \dots, u_N) = 0, \quad i = 1, \dots, N$$

with initial data:

$$\begin{aligned} u_i(x, 0) &= u_i^+ \text{ if } x_i > 0 \\ &= u_i^- \text{ if } x_i < 0 \\ & \quad i = 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

Then taking the space gradient of (3) gives us information about the solution to this special Riemann problem for a special system of conservation laws.

We finally remark that if the initial data is convex (concave) or if  $H(u_1, \dots, u_N)$  is convex (concave) then the Hopf formulas [1] for this problem apply. In the case  $N = 1$  it was shown in [1] that these formulas give the solution (3) originally derived in [6]. (In the one dimensional case the Riemann initial data is automatically convex or concave). The same must be true in the multi-dimensional convex or concave case. Our general nonconvex results presumably follow from the rather complicated formulas in [4], although the connection seems to be unclear. P. Sougandis has verified for us (private communication) that the left and right sides of (3) are always viscosity sub and super solutions for (H-J).

## Bibliography

- [1] M. Bardi and L.C. Evans, "On Hopf's Formulas for Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations", *Nonlinear Analysis, Theory, Methods and Applications*, V.8, (1984) pp. 1373-1381.
- [2] M.G. Crandall and P.L. Lions, "Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, V.277, (1983) pp. 1-42.
- [3] M.G. Crandall and P.L. Lions, "Two Approximations of Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations", *Math. Comp.*, V.45, (1984) pp. 1-19.
- [4] L.C. Evans and P.E. Souganidis, "Differential Games and Representation Formulas for Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs Equations", *Indiana University Mathematics Journal*, V.33, (1984) pp. 773-797.
- [5] S.K. Godunov, "A Finite Difference Method for the Numerical Solution of Discontinuous Solutions of the Equations of Fluid Dynamics", *Mat Sb.*, V.47, (1959) pp. 271-291.
- [6] S. Osher, "The Riemann Problem for Nonconvex Scalar Conservation Laws and Hamilton-Jacobi Equations", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, V.89, (1983) pp. 641-646.
- [7] S. Osher and J.A. Sethian, "Fronts Propagating with Curvature-Dependent Speed: Algorithms Based on Hamilton-Jacobi Formulations", *J. Comp. Physics*, V.79, (1988) pp. 12-49.