

# Qualifying Examination

## LOGIC

Winter 2013

DO ALL EIGHT PROBLEMS.

**1.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language whose only symbol is a unary function symbol  $f$ . For each  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence  $\sigma$ , let  $\text{Spec}(\sigma)$  be the spectrum of  $\sigma$ , i.e., the set of cardinalities of finite models of  $\sigma$ . (The *cardinality* of an  $\mathcal{L}$ -structure is the cardinality of its underlying set.) Let  $a \geq 0$  and  $b \geq 1$  be integers. Give an  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentence  $\sigma$  such that  $\text{Spec}(\sigma)$  is the set of positive integers of the form  $a + bn$  with  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

**2.** Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a language, and let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be a class of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures. The *theory* of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the set  $\text{Th}(\mathfrak{C})$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences  $\sigma$  with  $\mathbf{A} \models \sigma$  for all  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{C}$ , and the *asymptotic theory* of  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the set  $\text{Th}_a(\mathfrak{C})$  of  $\mathcal{L}$ -sentences  $\sigma$  with  $\mathbf{A} \models \sigma$  for all but finitely many  $\mathbf{A} \in \mathfrak{C}$ .

(a) Suppose that  $\mathfrak{C}$  consists of finite  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures and contains only finitely many structures of cardinality  $n$ , for each natural number  $n$ . Show that the models of  $\text{Th}_a(\mathfrak{C})$  are exactly the infinite models of  $\text{Th}(\mathfrak{C})$ .

(b) Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is the empty language (i.e., no constant, function, or relation symbols), and  $\mathfrak{C}$  is the class of  $\mathcal{L}$ -structures whose underlying set is of the form  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  for some integer  $n \geq 1$ . Show that  $\text{Th}_a(\mathfrak{C})$  is complete.

**3.** Let  $T$  be a countable consistent set of sentences in some language  $\mathcal{L}$ , and consider the space  $S_n(T)$  of complete  $n$ -types of  $T$  (over  $\emptyset$ ) equipped with the Stone topology. (The basic open sets are those of the form  $\{\Sigma(\vec{x}) \mid \varphi(\vec{x}) \in \Sigma(\vec{x})\}$  for formulas  $\varphi(\vec{x})$ .) Let  $X$  be a subset of  $S_n(T)$  which is meager, i.e.,  $X$  is the union of countably many sets whose closure has no interior. Show that  $X$  can be omitted in  $T$  (i.e., there is a model of  $T$  which omits each  $p \in X$ ).

**4.** Classify  $\{(a, b) \mid W_a \subseteq W_b\}$  in the arithmetical hierarchy.

**5.** Fix a Gödel numbering of the language of PA such that each natural number  $n$  is the Gödel number of a sentence  $\sigma_n$ . For each  $n$ , let  $C$  be a recursive function such that  $\sigma_{C(n)}$  is the sentence  $\text{Consis}(n)$  expressing the consistency of  $\text{Th}(n)$ , the set of consequences of  $\{\sigma_m \mid m \in W_n\}$ . Prove that there is an  $n$  such that  $\text{Th}(n)$  is the set of consequences of  $\text{PA} \cup \{\text{Consis}(n)\}$ .

6. Let  $\sigma$  be a sentence of the language of set theory. Assume that there is a primitive recursive function  $f$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ZFC} \vdash (\forall n) & (\text{there is a countable, transitive model of } \text{ZFC}_{f(n)}) \\ & \rightarrow \text{there is a countable, transitive model of } \text{ZFC}_n \cup \{\sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{ZFC}_n$  is the set of the first  $n$  axioms of ZFC. Explain how the arithmetical formalization of

$$\text{If ZFC is consistent, then so is } \text{ZFC} \cup \{\sigma\}$$

is provable in Peano Arithmetic.

*Hint:* Use the formalization of the fact that the instances of Reflection are provable in ZFC.

7. Assume  $V = L$ .

(a) Is uncountability absolute for uncountable transitive models of  $\text{ZFC}_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ ?

(b) Is having uncountable cofinality absolute for uncountable transitive models of  $\text{ZFC}_n$  for sufficiently large  $n$ ?

*Hint:* For (b), use Reflection and an elementary chain to get a model of size  $\aleph_1$  whose  $\omega_2$  has cofinality  $\omega$ .

8. Prove that  $2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_\omega$ .

*Hint:* Let  $X = \{f \mid f : \omega \rightarrow \omega_\omega\}$ . Show that if  $2^{\aleph_0} \geq \aleph_\omega$  then  $2^{\aleph_0} \geq \text{card}(X)$ . Then prove that  $\text{card}(X) > \aleph_\omega$ . To do this, assume that  $h$  is a surjection of  $\omega_\omega$  onto  $X$  and get a contradiction. (If you choose to use König's Theorem rather than following the hint, then don't just state König's Theorem. Prove it.)