#### **OPTIMIZATION / NUMERICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA (ONLA)**

# DO NOT FORGET TO WRITE YOUR SID NO. ON YOUR EXAM. PLEASE USE BLANK PAGES AT END FOR ADDITIONAL SPACE.

1. (10 points) Consider Ax = b with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 7 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 \end{pmatrix},$$

and b = (1, 9, -2).

- (a) With  $x_0 = (1, 1, 1)$ , carry out one iteration of Gauss-Seidel method to find  $x_1$ .
- (b) If we keep running the iterations, will the method converge? Why?

# Optimization / Numerical Linear Algebra (ONLA)

2. (10 points) Recall that the standard Conjugate Gradient algorithm can be described as

$$r_{0} = b - Ax_{0}, p_{0} = r_{0},$$
  
for  $i = 0, 1, 2, ...$   
 $\alpha_{i} = (r_{i}^{T}r_{i})/(p_{i}^{T}Ap_{i})$   
 $x_{i+1} = x_{i} + \alpha_{i}p_{i}$   
 $r_{i+1} = r_{i} - \alpha_{i}Ap_{i}$   
 $\beta_{i} = (r_{i+1}^{T}r_{i+1})/(r_{i}^{T}r_{i})$   
 $p_{i+1} = r_{i+1} + \beta_{i}p_{i}$ 

Show that CG for Ax = b starting with  $x_0$  is the same as applying the method to  $Ay = r_0 = b - Ax_0$  starting with  $y_0 = 0$ , in the sense of producing the same iterates.

## Optimization / Numerical Linear Algebra (ONLA)

- 3. (10 points) Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  with entries  $a_{i+1,i} = 1$  for i = 1, ..., n-1,  $a_{1n} = 1$ , and all other entries 0. Let b have entries  $b_1 = 1$ ,  $b_i = 0$  for i = 2, ..., n. Let  $x_0$  be the zero vector. Prove that GMRES applies to Ax = b with initial guess  $x_0$ 
  - (a)  $||b Ax_k|| = 1$  for  $1 \le k \le n 1$ , and
  - (b) takes n steps to find the true solution.

## **OPTIMIZATION** / NUMERICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA (ONLA)

- 4. (10 points) Let A be Hermitian and tridiagonal and assume that the subdiagonal and superdiagonal entries of A are all nonzero.
  - (a) Prove that all the eigenvalues of A must be distinct.
  - (b) Prove that the matrix is diagonalizable.

#### **OPTIMIZATION / NUMERICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA (ONLA)**

- 5. (10 points) Assume A is such that ||A|| = 1. Recall there exist methods for numerically computing eigenvalues of A that compute exactly the eigenvalues of some perturbed matrix  $A + \delta A$  with  $||\delta A|| = O(\epsilon)$  (machine precision).
  - (a) Prove that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $A + \delta A$  for some  $\delta A$  with  $\|\delta A\|_2 \leq \varepsilon$ , if and only if  $\|(\lambda I A)^{-1}\|_2 \geq 1/\varepsilon$ .
  - (b) Is it true that the eigenvalues numerically computed for A, that end up being the exact eigenvalues of some perturbed matrix  $A + \delta A$  with  $\|\delta A\| = O(\epsilon)$ , are close to the desired exact eigenvalues of A? Explain.

#### **OPTIMIZATION / NUMERICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA (ONLA)**

6. (10 points) Consider the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the matrix  $A = U\Sigma V$ , and consider the truncated SVD  $A_k$  obtained by extracting the upper left  $k \times k$  submatrix of  $\Sigma$  (and appropriately resizing U and V). Prove that  $A_k$  is the best rank-k approximation of A in the Euclidean (spectral norm) sense, and that  $||A - A_k|| = \sigma_{k+1}$ , where  $\sigma_{k+1}$  is the (k + 1)th singular value of A.

## Optimization / Numerical Linear Algebra (ONLA)

7. (10 points) Consider the problem to find the extremizers of

 $x_1^2 + x_1 x_2$  subject to  $x_1^2 \le x_2 \le 1$ .

Answer the following giving a complete reasoning for your answers:

- (a) Write down the KKT conditions for this problem and find all points that satisfy them.
- (b) Determine whether or not the points in part (a) satisfy the second order necessary conditions (SONC) for being local maximizers or minimizers.
- (c) Determine whether or not the points that satisfy the SONC in part (b) satisfy the second order sufficient conditions (SOSC) for being local maximizers or minimizers.

#### **OPTIMIZATION / NUMERICAL LINEAR ALGEBRA (ONLA)**

- 8. (10 points) Recall that the subdifferential of a convex function f at x is defined as  $\partial f(x) = \{g \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle g, y x \rangle$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n\}$ . Show the following:
  - (a) If f is a convex, closed, proper function on  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ , and g(x) = f(Ax), then

 $\partial g(x) \supseteq A^T \partial f(Ax)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(b) If f and g are convex, closed, proper functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , then

 $\partial (f+g)(x) \supseteq \partial f(x) + \partial g(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

(c) When does equality hold in (a) and when does it hold in (b)?

## Optimization / Numerical Linear Algebra (ONLA)

9. (10 points) Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  be a convex and differentiable function that satisfies  $\|\nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x)\|_2 \le L \|y - x\|_2$ for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , for some L > 0. Show that if we run gradient descent with fixed step size  $\gamma \le 1/L$ , then  $O(1/\epsilon)$  iterations suffice to obtain an iterate  $x^{(k)}$  with  $f(x^{(k)}) - f(x^*) \le \epsilon$ , where  $f(x^*)$  is the optimum value.