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1. (10 points) Consider $A x=b$ with

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
3 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 7 & 2 \\
1 & 2 & 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $b=(1,9,-2)$.
(a) With $x_{0}=(1,1,1)$, carry out one iteration of Gauss-Seidel method to find $x_{1}$.
(b) If we keep running the iterations, will the method converge? Why?
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2. (10 points) Recall that the standard Conjugate Gradient algorithm can be described as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& r_{0}=b-A x_{0}, p_{0}=r_{0} \\
& \text { for } i=0,1,2, \ldots \\
& \quad \alpha_{i}=\left(r_{i}^{T} r_{i}\right) /\left(p_{i}^{T} A p_{i}\right) \\
& x_{i+1}=x_{i}+\alpha_{i} p_{i} \\
& r_{i+1}=r_{i}-\alpha_{i} A p_{i} \\
& \beta_{i}=\left(r_{i+1}^{T} r_{i+1}\right) /\left(r_{i}^{T} r_{i}\right) \\
& p_{i+1}=r_{i+1}+\beta_{i} p_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Show that CG for $A x=b$ starting with $x_{0}$ is the same as applying the method to $A y=r_{0}=b-A x_{0}$ starting with $y_{0}=0$, in the sense of producing the same iterates.
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3. (10 points) Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ with entries $a_{i+1, i}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots, n-1, a_{1 n}=1$, and all other entries 0 . Let $b$ have entries $b_{1}=1, b_{i}=0$ for $i=2, \ldots, n$. Let $x_{0}$ be the zero vector. Prove that GMRES applies to $A x=b$ with initial guess $x_{0}$
(a) $\left\|b-A x_{k}\right\|=1$ for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$, and
(b) takes $n$ steps to find the true solution.
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4. (10 points) Let $A$ be Hermitian and tridiagonal and assume that the subdiagonal and superdiagonal entries of $A$ are all nonzero.
(a) Prove that all the eigenvalues of $A$ must be distinct.
(b) Prove that the matrix is diagonalizable.

## Qualifying Exam, Fall 2023

## Optimization / Numerical Linear Algebra (ONLA)

5. (10 points) Assume $A$ is such that $\|A\|=1$. Recall there exist methods for numerically computing eigenvalues of $A$ that compute exactly the eigenvalues of some perturbed matrix $A+\delta A$ with $\|\delta A\|=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ (machine precision).
(a) Prove that $\lambda$ is an eigenvalue of $A+\delta A$ for some $\delta A$ with $\|\delta A\|_{2} \leq \varepsilon$, if and only if $\left\|(\lambda I-A)^{-1}\right\|_{2} \geq 1 / \varepsilon$.
(b) Is it true that the eigenvalues numerically computed for $A$, that end up being the exact eigenvalues of some perturbed matrix $A+\delta A$ with $\|\delta A\|=\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$, are close to the desired exact eigenvalues of $A$ ? Explain.
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6. (10 points) Consider the singular value decomposition (SVD) of the matrix $A=U \Sigma V$, and consider the truncated SVD $A_{k}$ obtained by extracting the upper left $k \times k$ submatrix of $\Sigma$ (and appropriately resizing $U$ and $V)$. Prove that $A_{k}$ is the best rank- $k$ approximation of $A$ in the Euclidean (spectral norm) sense, and that $\left\|A-A_{k}\right\|=\sigma_{k+1}$, where $\sigma_{k+1}$ is the $(k+1)$ th singular value of $A$.
7. (10 points) Consider the problem to find the extremizers of

$$
x_{1}^{2}+x_{1} x_{2} \quad \text { subject to } \quad x_{1}^{2} \leq x_{2} \leq 1 .
$$

Answer the following giving a complete reasoning for your answers:
(a) Write down the KKT conditions for this problem and find all points that satisfy them.
(b) Determine whether or not the points in part (a) satisfy the second order necessary conditions (SONC) for being local maximizers or minimizers.
(c) Determine whether or not the points that satisfy the SONC in part (b) satisfy the second order sufficient conditions (SOSC) for being local maximizers or minimizers.
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8. (10 points) Recall that the subdifferential of a convex function $f$ at $x$ is defined as $\partial f(x)=\left\{g \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: f(y) \geq\right.$ $f(x)+\langle g, y-x\rangle$ for all $\left.y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right\}$. Show the following:
(a) If $f$ is a convex, closed, proper function on $\mathbb{R}^{m}, A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$, and $g(x)=f(A x)$, then

$$
\partial g(x) \supseteq A^{T} \partial f(A x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

(b) If $f$ and $g$ are convex, closed, proper functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\partial(f+g)(x) \supseteq \partial f(x)+\partial g(x) \quad \text { for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

(c) When does equality hold in (a) and when does it hold in (b)?
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9. (10 points) Let $f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex and differentiable function that satisfies $\|\nabla f(y)-\nabla f(x)\|_{2} \leq L\|y-x\|_{2}$ for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, for some $L>0$. Show that if we run gradient descent with fixed step size $\gamma \leq 1 / L$, then $O(1 / \epsilon)$ iterations suffice to obtain an iterate $x^{(k)}$ with $f\left(x^{(k)}\right)-f\left(x^{*}\right) \leq \epsilon$, where $f\left(x^{*}\right)$ is the optimum value.

